

## Recall

We have reduced the proof to Koopman–von-Neumann decomposition:

$$f = f_U + f_{U^\perp} + E.$$

Multiple averages are controlled by Gowers uniformity norms.

## Generalized von Neumann theorem

### Theorem

For a  $k$ -pseudorandom measure  $\nu : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,

$\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ ,  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ ,

functions  $f_0, \dots, f_{k-1} : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f_i| \leq \nu + 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(x + \lambda_i h) \mid x, h \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) = O \left( \min_{0 \leq i \leq k-1} \|f_i\|_{U^{k-1}} \right) + o(1).$$

We may assume that

$$\min_{0 \leq j \leq k-1} \|f_j\|_{U^{k-1}} = \|f_0\|_{U^{k-1}}, \quad \lambda_{k-1} = 0, \quad \lambda_0 = 1.$$

## Sketch of the proof (for $|f_j| \leq 1$ )

Induction on  $k$ .

We have to estimate

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left( f_{k-1}(x) \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} f_j(x) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right|,$$

and by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\leq \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} f_j(x) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right|^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right)^{1/2}.$$

## Sketch of the proof

By Van der Corput Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} f_j(x) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right|^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} f_j(x) \right) \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j(r+h)} f_j(x) \right) \mid x, h, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} (f_j T^{\lambda_j h} f_j)(x) \mid x, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} (f_j T^{\lambda_j h} f_j)(x) \mid x, r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right| \ll \min_j \|f_j T^h f_j\|_{U^{k-2}}.$$

## Sketch of the proof

Finally, by Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E} \left( \left| \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{k-2} T^{\lambda_j r} f_j(x) \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right|^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \right| \\ & \leq \min_j \mathbb{E}(\|f_j T^h f_j\|_{U^{k-2}} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N) \\ & \leq \min_j \|f_j\|_{U^{k-1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

When  $|f_j| \leq \nu$ , we get multiple averages for  $\nu$ .

The estimate follows from the linear form condition.

## Generalized Koopman–von Neumann theorem

### Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $k$ -pseudorandom measure,

$f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq \nu$ .

Then we have decomposition

$$f = f_U + f_{U^\perp} + E$$

such that

$$f_U + f_{U^\perp} \geq 0, \quad E \geq 0,$$

$$\mathbb{E}(E) = o(1),$$

$$0 \leq f_{U^\perp} \leq 1 + o(1),$$

$$\|f_U\|_{U^{k-1}} \text{ is small (uniformity).}$$

## Outline of the proof

- ▶ We set

$$f_U = (1 - 1_\Omega)(f - \mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B})), \quad f_{U^\perp} = (1 - 1_\Omega)\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}), \quad E = 1_\Omega f$$

for a suitably constructed  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- ▶ The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is constructed inductively.
- ▶ First, we start with  $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}_N\}$ . Then all properties hold except possibly “uniformity”.
- ▶ **Obstruction to uniformity** is detected by **dual function**  $\mathcal{D}(f_U)$ .
- ▶ We refine  $\mathcal{B}$  by adding “level sets” of  $\mathcal{D}(f_U)$ .
- ▶ In finitely many steps, we achieve uniformity because  $\|f_{U^\perp}\|_{L^2}$  increases (**energy increment property**), but  $\|f_{U^\perp}\|_\infty$  is bounded.

## Obstructions to uniformity

Let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f| \leq \nu$ .

- ▶ ( $k = 3$ ) If for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\|f\|_{U^2} \geq \delta,$$

then for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $c = c(\delta) > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(f(x)e^{2\pi irx/N} | x \in \mathbb{Z}_N) \geq c.$$

- ▶ ( $k$  general, conjecturally) If for some  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\|f\|_{U^{k-1}} \geq \delta,$$

then for some  $F : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $c = c(\delta) > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(f(x)F(x) | x \in \mathbb{Z}_N) \geq c$$

where  $F(n) = \phi(g^n u_0)$  and  $\phi : G/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function on a nilmanifold  $G/\Gamma$  of degree  $k - 2$ .

## Dual functions

For a function  $F : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , define the **dual function** of  $F$ :

$$\mathcal{D}F(x) = \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}: \omega \neq 0^{k-1}} F(x + \omega h) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right).$$

Note that

$$\langle F, \mathcal{D}F \rangle = \|F\|_{U^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}}.$$

If  $\|F\|_{U^{k-1}}$  is large,  $F$  correlates with its dual function.

**The dual functions provide obstructions to uniformity!**

## Properties of dual functions

### Proposition

For a function  $F : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|F| \leq \nu + 1$ , we have

$$\|\mathcal{D}F\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{2^{k-1}-1} + o(1).$$

**Proof.**

$$|\mathcal{D}F(x)| \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^k - \{0\}} (\nu + 1)(x + \omega h) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right).$$

Multiplying out, the estimate follows from the linear form condition. □

## Properties of dual functions

### Proposition (uniform distribution for antiuniform functions)

Given function  $F_1, \dots, F_n : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $|F_i| \leq \nu$ ,  
a continuous function  $\Phi : [-2^{2^k}, 2^{2^k}]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$\phi(x) = \Phi(\mathcal{D}F_1(x), \dots, \mathcal{D}F_n(x)).$$

Then

$$\langle \nu - 1, \phi \rangle = o_{n,\Phi}(1).$$

## Proof

First, we show that  $\|\nu - 1\|_{U^k} = o(1)$ . We need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} (\nu(x + \omega \cdot h) - 1) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right) \\ &= \sum_{A \subset \{0,1\}^{d-1}} (-1)^{|A|} \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in A} \nu(x + \omega \cdot h) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By linear form condition, each expected value is  $= 1 + o(1)$ .

Hence, the claim follows from binomial theorem.

To finish the proof, we need to show that for any  $f$  with

$$\|f\|_{U^{k-1}} \leq 1,$$

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = O_{n,\Phi}(1).$$

Approximating  $\Phi$  by polynomials, to treat the case  $\Phi = \text{monomial}$ .

We give a proof for  $\Phi(x) = x$ . We need to show

$$\langle f, \mathcal{D}F \rangle = O(1).$$

## Proof

By the Gowers-Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( f(x) \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}: \omega \neq 0^{k-1}} F(x + \omega \cdot h) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1}, x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left( \|f\|_{U^{k-1}} \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}: \omega \neq 0^{k-1}} \|F(\cdot + \omega \cdot h)\|_{U^{k-1}} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right) \\ & \ll \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}: \omega \neq 0^{k-1}} \mathbb{E} \left( \|F(\cdot + \omega \cdot h)\|_{U^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right)^{1/2^{k-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proof

We have to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left( \|F(\cdot + \omega \cdot h)\|_{U^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left( \|F(\cdot + u)\|_{U^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} \mid u \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} F(x + u + h \cdot \omega) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N, h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1}, u \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \\ & = \mathbb{E} \left( \mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} F(x + h \cdot \omega) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof

By the correlation condition,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{\omega \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}} \nu(x + h \cdot \omega) \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_N \right) \leq \sum_{\omega, \omega' \in \{0,1\}^{k-1}: \omega \neq \omega'} \tau(h \cdot (\omega - \omega')).$$

Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}(\tau(h \cdot (\omega - \omega')) \mid h \in \mathbb{Z}_N^{k-1}) = \mathbb{E}(\tau) = O(1).$$

## $\sigma$ -algebras generated by Bohr sets

For  $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ ,  $G : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow [-2^{2^k}, 2^{2^k}]$ ,

define a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(G)$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  satisfying:

- ▶ For any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ ,

$$\|G - \mathbb{E}(G \mid \mathcal{B} \vee \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(G))\|_{L^\infty} \leq \varepsilon.$$

- ▶ The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(G)$  is generated by at most  $O(1/\varepsilon)$  atoms.
- ▶ If  $A$  is any atom of  $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(G)$ , then there exists a continuous function  $\Psi_A : [-2^{2^k}, 2^{2^k}] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that

$$\|(1_A - \Psi_A(G))(\nu + 1)\|_{L^1} = O(\eta).$$

## Construction $\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(G)$

$\mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(G)$  consists of atoms

$$G^{-1}([\varepsilon(n + \alpha), \varepsilon(n + 1 + \alpha))), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then diameter of  $G(\{\text{atom of } \mathcal{B}\})$  is  $\leq \varepsilon$ .

The number of atoms is  $O(1/\varepsilon)$  because  $G$  is bounded.

By Fubini theorem,

$$\int_0^1 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(1_{G(x) \in [\varepsilon(n - \eta + \alpha), \varepsilon(n + \eta + \alpha))})(\nu(x) + 1) | x \in \mathbb{Z}_N) d\alpha = 2\eta \mathbb{E}(\nu + 1).$$

Hence, for some  $\alpha$ ,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}(1_{G^{-1}([\varepsilon(n - \eta + \alpha), \varepsilon(n + \eta + \alpha))})(\nu + 1)) = O(\eta).$$

Take

$\Psi_A = \text{cont. approximation of char. function of } [\varepsilon(n + \alpha), \varepsilon(n + 1 + \alpha)).$

## Generalized Koopman–von Neumann decomposition

### Theorem

Let  $\nu$  be a  $k$ -pseudorandom measure,

$f : \mathbb{Z}_N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq \nu$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a small parameter and  $N > N_0(\varepsilon)$  sufficiently large.

Then there exists  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and exceptional set  $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(1_\Omega \nu) &= o_\varepsilon(1), \\ \|(1 - 1_\Omega) \mathbb{E}(\nu - 1 | \mathcal{B})\|_{L^\infty} &= o_\varepsilon(1), \\ \|(1 - 1_\Omega)(f - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}))\|_{U^{k-1}} &\leq \varepsilon^{1/2^k} \quad (\text{uniformity}). \end{aligned}$$

The Koopman–von Neumann decomposition is obtained by setting

$$f_U = (1 - 1_\Omega)(f - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B})), \quad f_{U^\perp} = (1 - 1_\Omega) \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}), \quad E = 1_\Omega f$$

## Sketch of the proof

In the proof, we use a parameter  $\eta \rightarrow 0^+$ .

First, we set  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}_N\}$  and  $\Omega_0 = \emptyset$ .

Then all properties hold except possibly uniformity.

If uniformity fails, we set

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &:= (1 - 1_{\Omega_0})(f - \mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_0)), \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &:= \mathcal{B}_0 \vee \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon, \eta}(\mathcal{D}F_1), \end{aligned}$$

and define the exceptional set  $\Omega_1$  to be the union of the atoms  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(1_A(\nu + 1)) \leq \eta^{1/2}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}(1_{\Omega_1}(\nu + 1)) = O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}),$$

## Sketch of the proof

We claim that

$$\|(1 - 1_{\Omega_1})\mathbb{E}(\nu - 1|\mathcal{B}_1)\|_{L^\infty} = O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}).$$

Let  $A$  be an atom of  $\mathcal{B}_1$  with  $A \cap \Omega_1 = \emptyset$ .

Using equidistribution for antiuniform functions, we get

$$\mathbb{E}((\nu - 1)1_A) = O_\varepsilon(\eta).$$

Also,

$$\mathbb{E}(1_A) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{E}((\nu + 1)1_A) - \mathbb{E}((\nu - 1)1_A)) \geq O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}((\nu - 1)1_A)}{\mathbb{E}(1_A)} = O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}).$$

This implies the claim.

Continue...

## Sketch of the proof

Set

$$F_n := (1 - 1_{\Omega_{n-1}})(f - \mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_{n-1})).$$

Since  $f \leq \nu$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - 1_{\Omega_{n-1}})\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_{n-1})\|_{L^\infty} &\leq 1 + O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}), \\ \|F_n\|_{L^\infty} &\leq \|(1 - 1_{\Omega_{n-1}})(f - \mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_{n-1}))\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq (1 + O_\varepsilon(\eta^{1/2}))(\nu + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|\mathcal{D}F_n\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{2^{k-1}-1} + O_{n,\varepsilon}(\eta^{1/2}),$$

and we define  $\sigma$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \mathcal{B}_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{B}_{\varepsilon,\eta}(\mathcal{D}F_n),$$

and exceptional sets  $\Omega_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$  as above so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(1_{\Omega_n}\nu) &= O_{\varepsilon,n}(\eta^{1/2}), \\ \|(1 - 1_{\Omega_n})\mathbb{E}(\nu - 1|\mathcal{B}_n)\|_{L^\infty} &= O_{\varepsilon,n}(\eta^{1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

## Sketch of the proof

It remains to show that after finitely many steps, we get

$$\|F_n\|_{U^{k-1}} \leq \varepsilon^{1/2^k}.$$

This follows from

**Claim (energy increment property).** *If  $\|F_n\|_{U^{k-1}} > \varepsilon^{1/2^k}$ , then*

$$\|(1 - 1_{\Omega_n})\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_n)\|_{L^2}^2 > \|(1 - 1_{\Omega_{n-1}})\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_{n-1})\|_{L^2}^2 + 2^{-2^k+1}\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|(1 - 1_{\Omega_n})\mathbb{E}(f|\mathcal{B}_n)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1 + O_{n,\varepsilon}(\eta^{1/2})$$

## Proof of energy increment property, assuming $\Omega = \emptyset$

$$\langle F_n, \mathcal{D}F_n \rangle = \|F_n\|_{U^{k-1}}^{2^{k-1}} > \varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

By definition of  $\mathcal{B}_n$ ,

$$|\langle F_n, \mathcal{D}F_n - \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n) \rangle| \leq \mathbb{E}(|F_n|) \cdot \|\mathcal{D}F_n - \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n)\|_{L^\infty} = O(\varepsilon).$$

Hence,

$$\langle F_n, \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n) \rangle = \langle f - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1}), \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n) \rangle > \varepsilon^{1/2} + O(\varepsilon),$$

Since

$$\langle f - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1}), \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n) \rangle = \langle \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_n) - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1}), \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{D}F_n | \mathcal{B}_n) \rangle,$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_n) - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1})\|_{L^2} \cdot (2^{2^{k-1}-1} + O_{n,\varepsilon}(\eta^{1/2})) > \varepsilon^{1/2} + O(\varepsilon).$$

By the Pythagoras theorem (recall that  $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ ),

$$\|\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_n)\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_n) - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}_{n-1})\|_{L^2}^2.$$

This implies the claim.

## Outline of the proof

- ▶ We set

$$f_U = (1 - 1_\Omega)(f - \mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B})), \quad f_{U^\perp} = (1 - 1_\Omega)\mathbb{E}(f | \mathcal{B}), \quad E = 1_\Omega f$$

for a suitably constructed  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  and  $\Omega \in \mathcal{B}$ .

- ▶ The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is constructed inductively.
- ▶ First, we start with  $\mathcal{B} = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{Z}_N\}$ . Then all properties hold except possibly “uniformity”.
- ▶ **Obstruction to uniformity** is detected by **dual function**  $\mathcal{D}(f_U)$ .
- ▶ We refine  $\mathcal{B}$  by adding “level sets” of  $\mathcal{D}(f_U)$ .
- ▶ In finitely many steps, we achieve uniformity because  $\|f_{U^\perp}\|_{L^2}$  increases (**energy increment property**), but  $\|f_{U^\perp}\|_\infty$  is bounded.