

Lecture 10

Furstenberg's $\times 2, \times 3$ theorem and Littlewood conjecture.

$$X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \quad D_2: X \rightarrow X: x \mapsto 2x \pmod{1}$$

$$D_3: X \rightarrow X: x \mapsto 3x \pmod{1}$$

A single transformation D_3 has many not closed invariant sets (e.g., the Cantor set).

However, for the semigroup $\langle D_2, D_3 \rangle$, the picture is much more rigid.

Thm (Furstenberg) \forall irrational $x \in X$,
 $\{2^m 3^n x\}_{m,n \geq 0}$ is dense.

$$1) \left[\text{Let } S = \{2^m 3^n\}_{m,n \geq 0} = \{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n < \dots\} \right]$$

$$\left[\text{Then } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_{i+1}}{s_i} = 1. \right]$$

$$\left[\leftarrow \alpha = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3} \right]$$

$$\text{Consider } T = \{m\alpha + n\}_{m,n \geq 1} = \{t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n < \dots\}$$

$$\text{We need to show that } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (t_{i+1} - t_i) = 0.$$

We know that $\{m\alpha \pmod{1}\}_{m \geq 1}$ is dense in $[0, 1)$.

Hence, $\forall \varepsilon > 0: \exists m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon): m_0\alpha - \lfloor m_0\alpha \rfloor \in (0, \varepsilon)$

Then for $m \geq m_0$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$(m\alpha + n) - ((m - m_0)\alpha + n + \lfloor m_0\alpha \rfloor) = m_0\alpha - \lfloor m_0\alpha \rfloor \in (0, \varepsilon)$$

This implies the claim.

[2) If a closed $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ -invariant set F has a rational limit point, then $F = X$.]

First, suppose that 0 is a limit point of F .

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall i \geq i_0(\varepsilon): \frac{s_{i+1}}{s_i} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Since 0 is a limit point of F , $\exists x \in F: x \in (0, \varepsilon)$:

Then $\{s_i x\}_{i \geq i_0}$ forms ε -net in X .

Indeed, when $s_i \leq \frac{1}{x}$, $s_{i+1}x - s_i x \leq s_i \cdot ((1 + \varepsilon)x - x) < \varepsilon$.

This implies that $F = X$ (since ε is arbitrary).

In general, let $r = \frac{p}{q} \pmod{1}$ be a limit point of F .

We may assume that q coprime to 2 and 3.

Then $2^u = 3^u = 1 \pmod{q}$. Then $2^u \cdot r = 3^u \cdot r = r$.

We apply the above argument to $F - r$ which is $\langle 2^u, 3^u \rangle$ -invariant.

[3) Every closed $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ -invariant subset F contains a rational limit point.]

Suppose not. Take $\varepsilon > 0$, integer $N > \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ coprime to 2, 3, and integer u such that $2^u = 3^u = 1 \pmod{N}$.

Define inductively sequence of set:

$$F_0 = F \supset F_1 \supset \dots \supset F_{N-1}$$

by $F_0 = F'$ is the set of limit points of F ,

$$F_{i+1} = \left\{ x \in F_i : \left(x + \frac{1}{N}\right) \pmod{1} \in F_i \right\}.$$

- If F_i is closed, then F_{i+1} is also closed.
- If F_i is 2^u - and 3^u -invariant, then F_{i+1} is also 2^u - and 3^u -invariant.
- If F_i is finite, then $\exists u: 2^u \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in F_i$, and F consists of rational points.

Now suppose that F_i is infinite. Then $F_i - F_i$ is closed $\langle 2^u, 3^u \rangle$ -invariant set which has 0 as accumulation point. By step 2, $F_i - F_i = X$.

This implies that F_{i+1} is not empty.

Pick $x \in F_{N-1}$. Then $x_i = x + \frac{i}{N}$, $i = \overline{0, \dots, N-1}$

is in F and it is an ε -net in X .

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $F = X$.

Open problem: Is $\left\{ \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n \pmod{1} \right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is dense in $[0,1)$?

Conj (Furstenberg) If μ is $\langle 2, 3 \rangle$ -ergodic probability measure on \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} , then μ is either supported on a finite set or μ is Lebesgue.

Littlewood Conjecture.

Conj (Littlewood) $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$: $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \text{dist}(n\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) \text{dist}(n\beta, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$

If α is not badly approximable, $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot \text{dist}(n\alpha, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.
Hence, it is clear that Conj holds on a set of full measure.

Consider a cubic form $F(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 + \alpha x_3)(x_2 + \beta x_3)x_3$.

Note that $F(x) = F_0(x \cdot u_{\alpha, \beta})$ where $F_0(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1 y_2 y_3$,
 $u_{\alpha, \beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The form F_0 is invariant under the diagonal group:

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^s & & 0 \\ & e^t & 0 \\ 0 & & e^{-s-t} \end{pmatrix} : s, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\text{Let } D = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^s & & 0 \\ & e^t & 0 \\ 0 & & e^{-s-t} \end{pmatrix} : s, t \geq 0 \right\}$$

Prop. Littlewood Conj. $\iff \mathbb{Z}^3 g_{\alpha, \beta} D$ is unbounded in the space of lattices \mathcal{L}_3^1 .

$\boxed{\Leftarrow}$ If $\mathbb{Z}_{g_{\alpha, \beta}}^3 D$ is unbounded, then by Mahler compactness criterion, $\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1): \exists v = (p_1, p_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^3, v \neq 0, \exists s, t \geq 0:$

$$\| \underbrace{v \cdot g_{\alpha, \beta} \cdot d_{s, t}}_{(e^s(p_1 + q\alpha), e^t(p_2 + q\beta), e^{-s-t} q)} \| < \varepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon < 1$, we have $q \neq 0$.

It follows that $|p_1 + q\alpha| \cdot |p_2 + q\beta| \cdot |q| < \varepsilon^3$, which implies the claim.

$$\begin{cases} e^s |p_1 + q\alpha| < \varepsilon \\ e^t |p_2 + q\beta| < \varepsilon \\ e^{-s-t} |q| < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

\Rightarrow We consider the case when α, β are irrational (exercise: complete the proof when α or β is rational).
 For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1): \exists q \in \mathbb{N}, (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: q |q\alpha + p_1| \cdot |q\beta + p_2| < \varepsilon$.

Suppose that $|q\alpha + p_1| \geq \varepsilon^{1/5}$. Then $q \cdot |q\beta + p_2| < \varepsilon^{4/5}$.

By the Dirichlet Thm, $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}: \begin{cases} |q\alpha - \frac{m}{n}| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{1/5}}{n} \\ n \leq \varepsilon^{-1/5} \end{cases}$

Then $\begin{cases} nq \cdot |nq\beta + np_1| < \varepsilon^{2/5} \Rightarrow |nq\beta + np_1| < \varepsilon^{2/5} < \varepsilon^{1/5} \\ |nq\alpha - m| \leq \varepsilon^{1/5} \Rightarrow nq \cdot |nq\alpha - m| \cdot |nq\beta + np_1| < \varepsilon^{3/5} \end{cases}$

We conclude that $\forall \varepsilon > 0:$

$$\exists q \in \mathbb{N}, (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2: \begin{cases} q |q\alpha + p_1| \cdot |q\beta + p_2| < \varepsilon^{3/5} \\ |q\alpha + p_1| \leq \varepsilon^{1/5} \\ |q\beta + p_2| \leq \varepsilon^{1/5} \end{cases}$$

Take $s, t \geq 0: e^s \cdot |q\alpha + p_1| = \varepsilon^{1/5}, e^t |q\beta + p_2| = \varepsilon^{1/5}$.

Then $e^{-s-t} q = \varepsilon^{-1/5} |q\alpha + p_1| \cdot \varepsilon^{-1/5} |q\beta + p_2| \cdot q < \varepsilon^{1/5}$.

Hence, $\|(p_1, p_2, q) u_{\alpha, \beta} d_{s, t}\| < \varepsilon^{1/5}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_{u_{\alpha, \beta}}^3 d_{s, t}$ is unbounded.

Conj (Margulis) Every orbit of A (or D) in \mathcal{L}_3' is either compact or unbounded.

Conj (Margulis) Every ergodic A -invariant probability measure on \mathcal{L}_3' is either the measure on a compact orbit, or the invariant measure on \mathcal{L}_3' .

Thm. (Einsiedler-Katok-Lindenstrauss)

Littlewood Conj holds for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, but possibly a set of Hausdorff dimension 0.