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#### Abstract

We study arithmetic properties of equivariant birational types introduced by Kontsevich, Pestun, and the second author.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $k$ an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Investigations of obstructions to $G$-equivariant birationality over $k$ led to the definition, in [2], of new invariants of actions of $G$ on algebraic varieties $X$ defined over $k$. These invariants were further developed in [4], where specialization maps were defined, generalizing the ones from the non-equivariant setting [3].

The invariants from [2] are computed on a suitable smooth projective model $X$, where $G$ acts regularly. To such an action one associates a formal sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X \bigcirc G]:=\sum_{\alpha} \beta_{\alpha} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over components of the fixed point locus $F_{\alpha} \subset X^{G}$, and $\beta_{\alpha}$ are the characters of $G$ appearing in the tangent bundle to a point $x_{\alpha} \in F_{\alpha}$. Equivariant birational maps can be factored into sequences of blowups (and blowdowns) of smooth $G$-stable subvarieties, thanks to equivariant weak factorization. To obtain an equivariant birational invariant, one imposes relations on the formal sums in (1.1), of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\tilde{X} \frown G]-[X \frown G]=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every equivariant blowup $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.
This construction motivated the introduction of two closely related quotients of the free abelian group $\mathcal{S}_{n}(G)$, generated by symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]=\left[a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)}\right], \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is an $n$-dimensional faithful representation of $G$ over $k$, i.e., a collection of characters $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $G$, up to permutation, spanning the character group of $G$. This group receives the formal sums as in (1.1).
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Consider the following relations on elements of $\mathcal{S}_{n}(G)$ :
(B) Blow-up: for all $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(G)$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right]=} \\
& \begin{cases}{\left[a_{1}, a_{2}-a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right]+\left[a_{1}-a_{2}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right],} & a_{1} \neq a_{2} \\
{\left[0, a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right],} & a_{1}=a_{2}\end{cases} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ be the quotient by these relations, and

$$
\mathrm{b}: \mathcal{S}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(G)
$$

the corresponding projection homomorphism. One of the main results in [2] is the following

Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a smooth projective algebraic variety of dimension $n$ over $k$, with a regular action of $G$. The class

$$
[X \frown G] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(G)
$$

is a well-defined $G$-equivariant birational invariant.
In other words, all relations from (1.2) are implied by relations (B), which explains the terminology blow-up relations.

Numerical experiments revealed an interesting structure of another quotient

$$
\mathfrak{m}: \mathcal{S}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n}(G),
$$

by similar relations:
(M) Modular blow-up: for all $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(G)$ one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right]=} \\
& \quad\left[a_{1}, a_{2}-a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right]+\left[a_{1}-a_{2}, a_{2}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-2}\right] . \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

To distinguish, we write

$$
\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right], \quad \text { respectively, } \quad\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle
$$

for the image of a generator in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$, respectively, the image of a generator in $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$.

When $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$, the relations are identical; the only difference is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[a_{1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] } & =\left[a_{1}, 0, \ldots, a_{n}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \\
\left\langle a_{1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle & =2\left\langle a_{1}, 0, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(G)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu: \mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n}(G), \quad n \geq 2 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on symbols by:

$$
\mu\left(\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]\right):= \begin{cases}\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle & \text { if all } a_{i} \neq 0 \\ 2\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle & \text { if exactly one } a_{i}=0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

In [2] it was shown that this map on symbols is compatible with relations. Thus, we have a diagram


Geometric and structural considerations motivated the introduction of an additional relation:

## Antisymmetry.

$$
\left[-a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]=-\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right],
$$

for all $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] \in \mathcal{S}_{n}(G)$. This is defined only for nontrivial $G$.
This yields a diagram of homomorphisms

where the horizontal maps are projections to the corresponding quotients by this additional relation. On symbols, the map $\mu^{-}$is the same as $\mu$; its compatibility with defining relations is obvious.

In this note, we prove a comparison, left open in [2, Conjecture 8]:
Theorem 1.2. Both homomorphisms $\mu$ and $\mu^{-}$are isomorphisms, after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$.

This implies that the main constructions connected with $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$, from Sections $4,5,6$, and 9 of [2], also apply to $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. We briefly sketch these structures:

- Lattices and cones: elements $\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$ can be identified with isomorphism classes of triples

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{L}=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is a lattice, $\chi \in \mathbf{L} \otimes A$, and $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is a basic simplicial cone. Here $A$ denotes the character group of $G$, and by a basic simplicial cone we mean one that is spanned by a basis of $\mathbf{L}$. Concretely, choosing a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of lattice vectors spanning $\Lambda$, one can write

$$
\chi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \otimes a_{i}, \quad a_{i} \in A
$$

and put

$$
(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda) \mapsto\left\langle a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Changing the basis spanning $\Lambda$ permutes the entries $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, and relation (M) arises from decompositions of a simplicial cone into simplicial subcones. We will discuss this in more detail in Section 3.

- Operations: Given an exact sequence of groups

$$
0 \rightarrow G^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rightarrow G^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0
$$

there is a $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear multiplication homomorphism

$$
\nabla: \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime \prime}}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}+n^{\prime \prime}}(G), \quad n^{\prime}, n^{\prime \prime} \geq 1
$$

which descends to the antisymmetric versions, as well as a comultiplication homomorphism

$$
\Delta: \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}+n^{\prime \prime}}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

(the minus on the second factor is not an error), which also comes with an antisymmetric version

$$
\Delta^{-}: \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}+n^{\prime \prime}}^{-}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime}}^{-}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{n^{\prime \prime}}^{-}\left(G^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

These homomorphisms allow to decompose $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$ into primitive pieces, and reveal a rich internal structure.

- Hecke operators: The lattice-theoretic interpretation of $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$ leads to the definition of commuting operators

$$
T_{\ell, r}: \mathcal{M}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{n}(G)
$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and primes $\ell$ not dividing the order of $G$. By Theorem 1.2, the groups $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ also carry Hecke operators.

## - Cohomology of arithmetic groups: Let

$$
\Gamma(G, n) \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})
$$

be the stabilizer of $\chi$ in (1.8). Let
$-\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by characteristic functions of convex finitely generated rational polyhedral cones $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, modulo those of dimension $\leq n-1$,

- $\mathrm{St}_{n}$ be the Steinberg-module, and
- $\mathrm{or}_{n}$ be the sign of the determinant module.

By [2, Prop. 22] and Theorem 1.2, we have a commutative diagram


The connection between the groups $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, encoding invariants of abelian actions on algebraic varieties, and the theory of automorphic forms, via cohomology of congruence subgroups, seems intriguing to us. However, given the link between $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$ it is natural to seek a lattice theoretic interpretation of $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ as well. This is done in Section 3. One of the byproducts is the definition of Hecke operators

$$
T_{\ell, r}: \mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(G),
$$

where $\ell$ is a prime not dividing the order of $G$ and $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, over the integers.
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## 2. Comparison

We continue to assume that $G$ is a finite abelian group. This section is closely related to [2, Sections 3, 5, and 11]. In particular, we settle Conjecture 8 from ibid, asserting that

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathcal{M}_{n}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q} .
$$

Our first result is a refinement of [1, Prop. 3.2].

Theorem 2.1. Let $n \geq 2$.
(i) Let $p$ be a prime and $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. The class

$$
[a, 0, \ldots]+[-a, 0, \ldots] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})
$$

is zero when $p \leq 5$, and is annihilated by $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 24$ when $p \geq 7$.
(ii) Let $N>1$ be an integer and $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Then

$$
[a, 0, \ldots]+[-a, 0, \ldots] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})_{\text {tors }}
$$

the subgroup of torsion elements.
We start with a sequence of technical lemmas.
Lemma 2.2. For $a, b \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$we have

$$
[a, b]+[a,-b]=[a, 0] \in \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})
$$

Proof. We write $b=m a$ with $m \in\{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and proceed by induction on $m$. The base case $m=1$ is clear, since

$$
[a, a]=[a, 0] \quad \text { and } \quad[a,-a]=0
$$

The induction hypothesis, in combination with

$$
[a,(m+1) a]=[a, m a]+[(m+1) a,-m a]
$$

and

$$
[a,-m a]=[a,-(m+1) a]+[(m+1) a,-m a]
$$

gives the inductive step.
Lemma 2.3. For $a, b \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$we have

$$
[a, 0]+[-a, 0]=[a, b]+[a,-b]+[-a, b]+[-a,-b]
$$

in $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$, and this element is independent of $a$ and $b$.
Proof. The equality holds by Lemma 2.2. The right-hand side is symmetric in $a$ and $b$ and, by the equality, is independent of $b$. Hence it is also independent of $a$.

Lemma 2.4. For $a, b \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$with $a+b \neq 0$, we have

$$
[a, 0]=[a, b]+[-b, a+b]+[-a-b, a] \in \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) .
$$

Proof. This follows from

$$
[a,-b]=[-b, a+b]+[-a-b, a]
$$

and $[a, b]+[a,-b]=[a, 0]$.
Lemma 2.3 tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=[a, 0]+[-a, 0] \in \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$.

Lemma 2.5. For $a, b \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$with $a+b \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta= & {[a, b]+[-b, a+b]+[-a-b, a] } \\
& +[-a,-b]+[b,-a-b]+[a+b,-a] \in \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We add together

$$
[a, 0]=[a, b]+[-b, a+b]+[-a-b, a]
$$

and

$$
[-a, 0]=[-a,-b]+[b,-a-b]+[a+b,-a]
$$

and recognize $\delta$ on the left-hand side.
Lemma 2.6. We have in $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, a] & =\frac{p-1}{2} \delta, \\
\sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a,-2 a] & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We pair summands indexed by $a$ and $-a$ and use $[a, a]=[a, 0]$ and the definition of $\delta$ to get the first equality. Also, from

$$
[a, 0]=[a, a]+[-a, 2 a]+[-2 a, a]
$$

follows the vanishing of pairs of summands in the second equality.
Lemma 2.7. Let $\beta$, $\beta^{\prime}$, $\beta^{\prime \prime} \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \backslash\{-1\}$ with

$$
\beta^{\prime}=-\beta^{-1}-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}=-(\beta+1)^{-1} .
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a]+\left[a, \beta^{\prime} a\right]+\left[a, \beta^{\prime \prime} a\right]=\frac{p-1}{2} \delta .
$$

Furthermore, if $\beta=\beta^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime}$ then

$$
\sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a]=\frac{p-1}{6} \delta .
$$

Proof. We identify pairs of summands in the first expression with $\delta$. We have $\beta=\beta^{\prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if $\beta$ is a primitive cube root of unity. Then we may identify 6 -tuples of summands with $\delta$ to get the second equality.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. For (i), let $p \geq 5$. We partition $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \backslash\{1,-1\}$ into $\{-2,-1 / 2\}$, the primitive cube roots of unity (which exist only when $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$ ), and 6 -element sets

$$
\left\{\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{-1}, \beta^{\prime-1}, \beta^{\prime \prime-1}\right\}
$$

with distinct $\beta, \beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}$ as above. We take a subset

$$
I \subset(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \backslash\{1,-1\}
$$

to consist of one of $-2,-1 / 2$, one primitive cube root of unity if it exists, and $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$, $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ from every 6 -element set as above. Then $\delta$ from (2.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{6} \delta & =\sum_{\beta=1}^{p-3} \sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a] \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in I} \sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a,(\beta-1) a]+\left[a,\left(\beta^{-1}-1\right) a\right] \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in I} \sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a] \\
& =\frac{(p-1)(p-5)}{12} \delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\delta$ is annihilated by $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 12$. Next we prove annihilation by $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 8$, and thus by $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 24$ as claimed. This is an adaptation of the previous argument: take

$$
J \subset(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times} \backslash\{1,-1\}
$$

to consist of one square root of -1 when $p \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ as well as $\beta$ and $-\beta$ from every 4 -element set

$$
\left\{\beta,-\beta, \beta^{-1},-\beta^{-1}\right\} .
$$

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(p-1)^{2}}{4} \delta & =\sum_{\beta=1}^{p-3} \sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a] \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in J} \sum_{a \in(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{\times}}[a, \beta a]=\frac{(p-1)(p-3)}{8} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

we get the desired conclusion.
For (ii), we treat composite $N$, as in the proof of [1, Prop. 3.2]: We recall that, for $a, b$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, N)=1$, we have

$$
\langle a, b\rangle= \begin{cases}{[a, b]} & \text { when both } a, b \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}[a, 0] & \text { when } b=0\end{cases}
$$

In this case, we work with

$$
\delta(a, b):=\langle a, b\rangle+\langle-a, b\rangle+\langle a,-b\rangle+\langle-a,-b\rangle \in \mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}) .
$$

We observe that $\delta(a, b)$ satisfies the blow-up relation (M), thus

$$
S:=\sum_{a, b} \delta(a, b)=2 S
$$

It follows that $S=0$ in $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. On the other hand, $\delta(a, b)$ is seen to be invariant under $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. This implies that $\delta(a, b)$ is torsion in $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$ (annihilated by the number of summands in $S$ ). Substituting $b=0$, we $[a, 0]+[-a, 0]=0$ in $\mathcal{B}_{2}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

The following theorem settles Conjectures 8 and 9 of [2]:
Theorem 2.8. Let $n \geq 3$.
(i) Let $p$ be a prime. Then

$$
[0,0,1, \ldots] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})
$$

is zero when $p \leq 5$, and is annihilated by $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 24$ when $p \geq 7$. (ii) Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Any element of the form

$$
[0,0, \ldots] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(G)
$$

is a torsion element.
Proof. For (ii) it suffices to consider cyclic $G=\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z}$. Theorem 2.1 (ii) gives, for $a \in(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})^{\times}$, that

$$
[a, 0, c \ldots]+[-a, 0, c, \ldots]
$$

is torsion in $\mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})$. Substituting $c=a$, and using that

$$
[a, 0, a, \ldots]=[0,0, a, \ldots] \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})
$$

and

$$
[-a, 0, a, \ldots]=0 \in \mathcal{B}_{n}(\mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z})
$$

we obtain the result. We obtain (i) similarly, from Theorem 2.1 (i).
Proof of Theorem 1.2. The assertion for $\mu^{-}$follows immediately from the vanishing of all $\left[0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$, respectively $\left\langle 0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\rangle$ in $\mathcal{B}_{n}^{-}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, respectively $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{-}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

To obtain the assertion for $\mu$, we combine Theorem 2.8 (ii) with analogous relations in $\mathcal{M}_{n}(G)$, stated at the beginning of Section 3 of [2], to show directly that $\mu$ induces an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$.

## 3. Interpretation via lattices

As before, $G$ is a finite abelian group $G$; we denote by $A$ the character group of $G$. Our starting point is the free abelian group on triples

$$
(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda)
$$

where

- $\mathbf{L} \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional lattice,
- $\chi \in \mathbf{L} \otimes A$ is an element inducing, by duality, a surjection $\mathbf{L}^{\vee} \rightarrow A$,
- $\Lambda$ is a basic cone, i.e., a simplicial cone spanned by a basis of $\mathbf{L}$.

Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the quotient of this group by the equivalence relation: two triples are equivalent if they differ by the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. There is a natural map

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{T} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{S}_{n}(G), \\
(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda) & \mapsto & {\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right],}
\end{array}
$$

defined by decomposing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} \otimes a_{i}, \quad a_{i} \in A \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is a basis of $\Lambda$. The symmetry property (1.3) is precisely the ambiguity in the order of generating elements of $\Lambda$. Imposing scissor-type relations [2, (4.4)] on $\mathbf{T}$, we obtain a diagram


We propose a similar group $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$, based on triples

$$
\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$

where now $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a smooth cone of arbitrary dimension (i.e., one spanned by part of a basis of $\mathbf{L}$ ), such that when we let $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ denote the sublattice of $\mathbf{L}$ spanned by $\Lambda^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\prime} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \otimes A\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, we impose the relations coming from the evident $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$-action. There is a natural map

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\widetilde{\mathbf{T}} & \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{n}(G), \\
\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right) & \mapsto\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

We introduce Subdivision relations on $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ :
(S) for a face $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Lambda^{\prime}$ of dimension at least 2 ,

$$
\Lambda^{\prime \prime}=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\rangle \subset \Lambda^{\prime}=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\left\langle e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}\right\rangle
$$

consider the star subdivision $\Sigma_{\Lambda^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right)$, consisting of the $2^{r}-1$ cones spanned by $e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r}, e_{r+1}, \ldots, e_{s}$, and all proper subsets of $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{\substack{\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{N^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\Lambda^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\chi \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}^{\prime} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \otimes A\right)}}(-1)^{\operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \widetilde{\Lambda}^{\prime}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
&\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)=(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for a basic cone $\Lambda$, having $\Lambda^{\prime}$ as a face.
We have:


Lemma 3.1. The subdivision relations are generated by (3.3) for $r=2$, and (3.4).

Proof. As in the proof of [1, Prop. 2.1], we show inductively that the relations (3.3) for given $r>2$ are generated by (3.3) with smaller values of $r$.

In (3.5) we have an obvious map from $\mathcal{B}_{n}(G)$ to the quotient of $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ by the subdivision relations, sending $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ to a triple $(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda)$ with $\Lambda$ a basic cone and $\chi$ given by the formula (3.1). It is readily verified that this respects the relation (1.4), and that the bottom map in (3.5) is an isomorphism.

As in $\left[2\right.$, Section 4] we extend the definition of $\tilde{\psi}\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)$ to the case of a simplicial cone $\Lambda^{\prime}$, satisfying (3.2) with $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}=\mathbf{L} \cap \Lambda^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{R}$. We choose a subdivision by smooth cones and sum, with signs, the contributions from the cones, not contained in any proper face of $\Lambda^{\prime}$. Here, as in (3.3), the signs are given by codimension, and contributions are only taken from summands satisfying the analogous condition to (3.2).

Now we can define Hecke operators

$$
T_{\ell, r}: \mathcal{B}_{n}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{n}(G),
$$

where $\ell$ is a prime not dividing the order of $G$ and $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, following the construction in [2, Section 6], as a sum over certain overlattices:

$$
T_{\ell, r}\left(\tilde{\psi}\left(\mathbf{L}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)\right):=\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{L} \subset \widehat{\mathbf{L}} \subset \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \widehat{\mathbf{L}} / \mathbf{L} \simeq(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{r}}} \tilde{\psi}\left(\widehat{\mathbf{L}}, \chi, \Lambda^{\prime}\right)
$$
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