# arXiv:0706.3057v1 [math.PR] 20 Jun 2007

# THE CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL ON COMPACT GROUPS WITH HAAR MEASURE : SOME EQUALITIES IN LAW

P. BOURGADE, A. NIKEGHBALI, AND A. ROUAULT

Presented by Marc Yor.

ABSTRACT. This note presents some equalities in law for  $Z_N := \det(\mathrm{Id} - G)$ , where G is an element of a subgroup of the set of unitary matrices of size N, endowed with its unique probability Haar measure. Indeed, under some general conditions,  $Z_N$  can be decomposed as a product of independent random variables, whose laws are explicitly known. Our results can be obtained in two ways : either by a recursive decomposition of the Haar measure (Section 1) or by previous results by Killip and Nenciu ([3]) on orthogonal polynomials with respect to some measure on the unit circle (Section 2). This latter method leads naturally to a study of determinants of a class of principal submatrices.

RÉSUMÉ. Cette note présente quelques égalités en loi pour  $Z_N := \det(\mathrm{Id}-G)$ , où G est un sous-groupe de l'ensemble des matrices unitaires de taille N, muni de son unique mesure de Haar normalisée. En effet, sous des conditions assez générales,  $Z_N$  peut être décomposé comme le produit de variables aléatoires indépendantes, dont on connait la loi explicitement. Notre résultat peut être obtenu de deux manières : soit par une décomposition récursive de la mesure de Haar (Partie 1) soit en utilisant un résultat de Killip et Nenciu ([3]) à propos des polynômes orthogonaux relativement à une certaine mesure sur le cercle unité (Partie 2). Cette dernière méthode nous conduit naturellement à l'étude des déterminants de certaines sous-matrices.

In this note,  $\langle a, b \rangle$  denotes the Hermitian product of two elements a and b in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  (the dimension is implicit).

## 1. A RECURSIVE DECOMPOSITION, CONSEQUENCES

1.1. The general equality in law. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a subgroup of U(N), the group of unitary matrices of size N. Let  $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  and  $\mathcal{H} := \{H \in \mathcal{G} \mid H(e_1) = e_1\}$ , the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  which

Date: 14 June 2007.

stabilizes  $e_1$ . For a generic compact group  $\mathcal{A}$ , we write  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$  for the unique Haar probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then we have the following Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let M and H be independent matrices,  $M \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with distribution  $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Then  $MH \sim \mu_{\mathcal{G}}$  if and only if  $M(e_1) \sim f(\mu_{\mathcal{G}})$ , where f is the map  $f : G \mapsto G(e_1)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  which are reflections with respect to a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Define also

$$g: \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{H} & \to & U(N-1) \\ H & \mapsto & H_{\operatorname{span}(e_2,\ldots,e_N)} \end{array} \right.$$

where  $H_{\text{span}(e_2,\ldots,e_N)}$  is the restriction of H to  $\text{span}(e_2,\ldots,e_N)$ . Now suppose that  $\{G(e) \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{M(e) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}\}$ . Under this additional condition the following Theorem can be proven, using Theorem 1.1 and elementary manipulations of determinants.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $G \sim \mu_{\mathcal{G}}$ ,  $G' \sim \mu_{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $H \sim g(\mu_{\mathcal{H}})$  be independent. Then

$$\det(\mathrm{Id}_N - G) \stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=} (1 - \langle e_1, G'(e_1) \rangle) \det(\mathrm{Id}_{N-1} - H).$$

1.2. Examples : the unitary group, the group of permutations. Take G = U(N). As all reflections with respect to a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  are elements of G, one can apply Theorem 1.2. The corresponding measures are the following.

- (1) The distribution  $g(\mu_{\mathcal{H}})$  is clearly  $\mu_{U(N-1)}$ .
- (2)  $\langle e_1, G(e_1) \rangle$  is distributed as the first coordinate of a vector of the N-dimensional unit complex sphere with uniform measure :  $\langle e_1, G(e_1) \rangle \sim e^{i\theta_N} \sqrt{\beta_{1,N-1}}$  with  $\theta_n$  uniform on  $(0, 2\pi)$  and independent of  $\beta_{1,N-1}$ , a beta variable with parameters 1 and N 1.

Thus iterations of Theorem 1.2 lead to the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** ([2]) Let  $G \in U(N)$  be  $\mu_{U(N)}$  distributed. Then

$$\det(\mathrm{Id}_N - G) \stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^N \left( 1 - e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_k} \sqrt{\beta_{1,k-1}} \right),$$

with  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N, \beta_{1,0}, \ldots, \beta_{1,N-1}$  independent random variables, the  $\theta_k$ 's uniformly distributed on  $(0, 2\pi)$  and the  $\beta_{1,j}$ 's  $(0 \leq j \leq N-1)$  being beta distributed with parameters 1 and j (by convention,  $\beta_{1,0}$  is the Dirac distribution at 1).

The group  $S_N$  of permutations of size N gives another possible application. Identify an element  $\sigma \in S_N$  with the matrix  $(\delta^j_{\sigma(i)})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$  ( $\delta$  is Kronecker's symbol). As det $(\mathrm{Id}_N - \sigma)$  is equal to 0, we prefer to deal with the group  $\tilde{S}_N$  of matrices  $(e^{i\theta_j}\delta^j_{\sigma(i)})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$ , with  $\sigma \in S_N$  and  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N$ independent uniform random variables on  $(0, 2\pi)$ . Then the measures corresponding to Theorem 1.2 are the following.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

- (1) The distribution  $g(\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_N})$  is  $\mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{N-1}}$ .
- (2)  $\langle e_1, G(e_1) \rangle$  is 0 with probability 1 1/N and  $e^{i\theta}$  ( $\theta$  uniform on  $(0, 2\pi)$ ) with probability 1/N.

As previously, iterations of Theorem 1.2 give the following result.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let  $S_N \in \tilde{S}_N$  be  $\mu_{\tilde{S}_N}$  distributed. Then

$$\det(\mathrm{Id}_N - S_N) \stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^N \left(1 - e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_k} X_k\right),\,$$

with  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_N, X_1, \ldots, X_N$  independent random variables, the  $\theta_k$ 's uniformly distributed on  $(0, 2\pi)$  and the  $X_k$ 's Bernoulli variables :  $\mathbb{P}(X_k = 1) = 1/k$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(X_k = 0) = 1 - 1/k$ .

*Remark.* Let  $k_{\sigma}$  be the number of cycles of a random permutation of size N, with respect to the (probability) Haar measure. Corollary 1.4 allows us to recover the following celebrated result about the law of  $k_{\sigma}$ :

$$k_{\sigma} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_1 + \dots + X_N,$$

with the previous notations. Indeed, if a permutation  $\sigma \in S_N$  has  $k_{\sigma}$  cycles with lengths  $l_1, \ldots, l_{k_{\sigma}}$  ( $\sum_k l_k = N$ ), then it is easy to see that under the Haar measure

$$\det(x\mathrm{Id} - \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_N) \stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{k_{\sigma}} (x^{l_k} - e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_k})$$

with the  $\alpha_k$ 's independent and uniform on  $(0, 2\pi)$ . Using the previous relation and the result of Corollary 1.4 we get

$$\prod_{k=1}^{N} \left( 1 - e^{\mathrm{i}\theta_k} X_k \right) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{k_\sigma} (1 - e^{\mathrm{i}\alpha_k}).$$

The equality of the Mellin transforms of the modulus of the above members easily implies the expected result :  $k_{\sigma} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_1 + \cdots + X_N$ . Our discussion on the permutation group is closely related to the so-called Chinese restaurant process and the Feller decomposition of the symmetric group (see, e.g. [1]).

### 2. Characteristic polynomials as orthogonal polynomials

We now show how Corollary 1.3 can be obtained as a consequence of a result by Killip and Nenciu ([3]).

2.1. A result by Killip and Nenciu. Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be the open unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  the unit circle. Let  $(e_1, \ldots, e_N)$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . If  $G \in U(N)$ , and if  $e_1$  is cyclic for G, the spectral measure for the pair  $(G, e_1)$  is the unique probability  $\nu$  on  $\partial \mathbb{D}$  such that, for every integer  $k \ge 0$ 

$$\langle e_1, G^k e_1 \rangle = \int_{\partial \mathbb{D}} z^k d\nu(z).$$
 (2.1)

In fact, we have the expression

$$\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \pi_j \delta_{e^{i\zeta_j}}$$

where  $(e^{i\zeta_j}, j = 1, ..., N)$  are the eigenvalues of G and where  $\pi_j = |\langle e_1, \Pi e_j \rangle|^2$ with  $\Pi$  a unitary matrix diagonalizing G.

The relation (2.1) allows to define an isometry from  $\mathbb{C}^N$  equipped with the basis  $(e_1, Ge_1, \cdots, G^{N-1}e_1)$  into the subspace of  $L^2(\partial \mathbb{D}; d\nu)$  spanned by the family  $(1, z, \ldots, z^N)$ . The endomorphism G is then a representation of the multiplication by z.

From the linearly independent family of monomials  $\{1, z, z^2, \ldots, z^{N-1}\}$  in  $L^2(\partial \mathbb{D}, \nu)$ , we construct an orthogonal basis  $\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_{N-1}$  of monic polynomials by the Gram-Schmidt procedure. The  $N^{th}$  degree polynomial obtained this way is

$$\Phi_N(z) = \prod_{j=1}^N (z - e^{i\zeta_j}),$$

i.e. the characteristic polynomial of G. The  $\Phi_k$ 's (k = 0, ..., N) obey the Szegö recursion relation:

$$\Phi_{j+1}(z) = z\Phi_j(z) - \bar{\alpha}_j\Phi_j^*(z) \tag{2.2}$$

where  $\Phi_j^*(z) = z^j \overline{\Phi_j(\overline{z}^{-1})}$ . The coefficients  $\alpha'_j s$   $(j \ge 0)$  are called Schur or Verblunsky coefficients and satisfy the condition  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N-2} \in \mathbb{D}$  and  $\alpha_{N-1} \in \partial \mathbb{D}$ . There is a bijection between this set of coefficients and the set of spectral probability measures  $\nu$  (Verblunsky's theorem). If  $G \sim \mu_{U(N)}$ , then we know the exact distribution of the Verblunsky coefficients :

**Theorem 2.1.** (Killip and Nenciu [3]) Let  $G \in U(N)$  be  $\mu_{U(N)}$  distributed. The Verblunsky parameters  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N-2}, \alpha_{N-1}$  are independent and the density of  $\alpha_j$  for  $j \leq N-1$  is

$$\frac{N-j-1}{\pi} \left(1-|z|^2\right)^{N-j-2} \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{D}}(z)$$

(for j = N - 1 by convention this is the uniform measure on the unit circle).

2.2. Recovering Corollary 1.3. For z = 1, Szegö's recursion (2.2) can be written

$$\Phi_{j+1}(1) = \Phi_j(1) - \overline{\alpha_j} \overline{\Phi_j(1)}.$$
(2.3)

Under the Haar measure for G, as  $\alpha_j$  is independent of  $\Phi_j(1)$  and its distribution is invariant by rotation, (2.3) easily yields

$$\Phi_{j+1}(1) \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} (1 - \alpha_j) \Phi_j(1).$$

In particular, for j = N - 1 we get by induction

$$\det(\mathrm{Id} - G) \stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} (1 - \alpha_j).$$
(2.4)

From the density for  $\alpha_j$  given in Theorem 2.1 one can see that this is exactly the same result as Corollary 1.3.

*Remark.* A similar result holds for SO(2N), and can be shown using either the method of Section 1 or the one in Section 2, with the corresponding result by Killip and Nenciu for the Verblunsky coefficients on the orthogonal group [3].

2.3. Extension. We now consider the whole sequence of polynomials  $\Phi_j, j \leq N$  for  $j \leq N$  as a sequence of characteristic polynomials. For this purpose, we apply the Gram-Schmidt procedure to  $1, z, z^{-1}, z^2, \ldots, z^{p-1}, z^{1-p}, z^p$  if N = 2p and to  $1, z, z^{-1}, z^2, \ldots, z^p, z^{-p}$  if N = 2p + 1 in  $L^2(\partial \mathbb{D}); d\nu$ ). In the resulting basis, the mapping  $f(z) \mapsto zf(z)$  is represented by a so-called CMV matrix ([3] Appendix B, [5]) denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_N(G)$ . It is five-diagonal and conjugate to G. For  $1 \leq j \leq N$  let  $\mathcal{C}_N^{(j)}(G)$  the principal submatrix of order j of  $\mathcal{C}_N(G)$ . It is known (see for instance Proposition 3.1 in [5]) that

$$\Phi_j(z) = \det\left(z\mathrm{Id}_j - \mathcal{C}_N^{(j)}(G)\right).$$

From the recursion (2.3) and looking at the invariance of conditional distributions, we see that

$$\left(\det\left(\mathrm{Id}_{j}-\mathcal{C}_{N}^{(j)}(G)\right)\right)_{1\leq j\leq N}=\left(\Phi_{j}(1)\right)_{1\leq j\leq N}\stackrel{\mathrm{law}}{=}\left(\prod_{l=0}^{j}(1-\alpha_{l})\right)_{0\leq j\leq N-1}.$$
(2.5)

It allows a study of the process  $(\log \Phi_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}(1), t \in [0,1])$  as a triangular array of (complex) independent random variables. For t = 1 the asymptotic behavior is presented in [2] (see (2.7 below). It is remarkable that for t < 1, we do not need any normalization for the CLT.

# **Theorem 2.2.** (1) As $N \to \infty$

$$\left(\log \det \left(\mathrm{Id}_{j} - \mathcal{C}_{\lfloor Nt \rfloor}^{(j)}(G)\right); \ t \in [0,1)\right) \Rightarrow \left(\mathbf{B}_{-\frac{1}{2}\log(1-t)}; \ t \in [0,1)\right), \quad (2.6)$$

where **B** is a standard complex Brownian motion and  $\Rightarrow$  stands for the weak convergence of distributions in the set of càdlàg functions on [0, 1), starting from 0, endowed with the Skorokhod topology.

(2) As  $N \to \infty$ ,

$$\frac{\log \det(\mathrm{Id}_N - G)}{\sqrt{2\log N}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1 + \mathrm{i}\mathcal{N}_2 \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  are independent standard normal and independent of **B**, and  $\Rightarrow$  stands for the weak convergence of distributions in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This theorem can be proved using the Mellin-Fourier transform of the  $1 - \alpha_j$ 's and independence. This method may also be used to prove large deviations. It is the topic of a companion paper. These results occur in similar way for other random determinants (see [4]).

### References

- R. Arratia , A.D. Barbour, S. Tavaré, Logarithmic Combinatorial Structures: A Probabilistic Approach. 352 pp, 2003. EMS Monographs in Mathematics, 1. European Mathematical Society Publishing House, Zürich.
- [2] P. Bourgade, C.P. Hughes, A. Nikeghbali, M. Yor, The characteristic polynomial of a random unitary matrix: a probabilistic approach, arXiv math.PR/0706.0333, 2007.
- [3] R. Killip and I. Nenciu, Matrix models for circular ensembles, International Mathematics Research Notices, vol. 2004, no. 50, pp. 2665-2701, 2004.
- [4] A. Rouault, Asymptotic behavior of random determinants in the Laguerre, Gram and Jacobi ensembles, arXiv math.PR/0607767, 2007.
- [5] B. Simon, CMV matrices: Five years after, arXiv math.SP/0603093, 2006.

Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modéles Aléatoires, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, et C.N.R.S. UMR 7599, 175, rue du Chevaleret, F-75013 Paris, France

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{bourgade@enst.fr}$ 

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, CH-8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: ashkan.nikeghbali@math.unizh.ch

UNIVERSITÉ VERSAILLES-SAINT QUENTIN, LMV, BÂTIMENT FERMAT, 45 AVENUE DES ETATS-UNIS, 78035 VERSAILLES CEDEX

*E-mail address*: rouault@fermat.math.uvsq.fr