

ON PROJECTIVE CURVES OF MAXIMAL REGULARITY

MARKUS BRODMANN AND PETER SCHENZEL

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ be a non-degenerate projective curve of degree $d > r + 1$ of maximal regularity so that \mathcal{C} has an extremal secant line \mathbb{L} . We show that $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is arithmetically Cohen Macaulay if $d < 2r - 1$ and we study the Betti numbers and the Hartshorne-Rao module of the curve \mathcal{C} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ denote a non-degenerate projective curve, where K is an algebraically closed field. Two basic numerical invariants related to \mathcal{C} are the degree $\deg \mathcal{C}$ and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\text{reg } \mathcal{C}$. In their fundamental paper (cf. [5]) Gruson, Lazarsfeld and Peskine have shown that

$$\text{reg } \mathcal{C} \leq \deg \mathcal{C} - r + 2.$$

The degree of the curve \mathcal{C} reflects its geometric behaviour. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the curve $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ defined in terms of the vanishing of local cohomology, can be expressed by the degree of the generators of the higher syzygy modules of the defining ideal $I_{\mathcal{C}}$ and thus reflects the cohomological and homological behaviour of \mathcal{C} .

In [1] we have studied non-degenerate curves of degree $r + 2$ in \mathbb{P}_K^r . For $r \geq 4$ we were lead to distinguish four different main cases I - IV, according to the structure of the Hartshorne-Rao module of the considered curve. In geometric terms, case IV is precisely the case in which an extremal secant occurs or – in (co-)homological terms – the case of maximal regularity. In this paper, we investigate this latter geometric or homological situation in arbitrary degrees.

So, we consider a non-degenerate projective irreducible curve $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ of degree $d > r + 1$ (with $r \geq 3$) whose Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity takes the maximally possible value $d - r + 2$. In this case, \mathcal{C} is smooth and rational and has a $(d - r + 2)$ -secant line \mathbb{L} (cf. [5]).

We study the Betti numbers and the Hartshorne-Rao module of the curve \mathcal{C} . To do so, we investigate the relation between the two curves \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ from the cohomological and the homological point of view (cf. 2.7 resp. 4.1).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14H45; Secondary: 13D02.

Key words and phrases. Projective curves, Hartshorne-Rao modules, Betti numbers, maximal Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, extremal secant line.

The second author was partially supported by Swiss National Science Foundation (Projects No. 20-52762.97 and 20-59237.99).

Quite often $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (CM) curve. Then much can be said on \mathcal{C} , mainly as the structure of the Hartshorne-Rao module is known in this case (cf. 3.3 (ix), 4.5). Obviously, to make use of this fact, one needs to know under which circumstances $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is arithmetically CM. We give various necessary and sufficient conditions for this (see 3.3, 3.6). Also, we show that $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is arithmetically CM if $d < 2r - 1$ (cf. 3.5).

In the case where $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is arithmetically CM we give an approximation of the Betti numbers of \mathcal{C} (cf. 4.6), which extends the corresponding result for $d = r + 2$ (cf. [1]) to arbitrary degrees d .

Also we briefly discuss the "exceptional case" in which $d = r + 1$ (cf. 5.1) and we present several examples calculated by means of the computer algebra system *Singular* (cf. [4]). By these example we notably illustrate:

- The occurrence and non-occurrence of a trisecant line in the exceptional case $d = r + 1$ (cf. 5.1).
- The fact that in the general case, \mathcal{C} need not lie on a surface of minimal degree (cf. 5.3).
- The fact that in the case $d = 2r - 1$ the curve $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ need not but can be arithmetically CM (cf. 5.4 resp. 5.5), while this is true in general for $d < 2r - 1$.
- The variability of the (socle of) the Hartshorne-Rao module \mathcal{C} , if $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ is not arithmetically CM (cf. 5.6).

In Section 2 we prove a few results about the secant lines. The main result 2.3 gives an estimate on the dimension of the space of global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(1)$. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the structure of the coordinate ring of $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$. In Section 4 we continue with the homological aspect describing the Betti numbers of the coordinate rings of \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$ and their interaction.

2. EXTREMAL SECANTS

We fix a few notations, which we use throughout this paper.

Notation and Remark 2.1. A) Let $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ be a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring. By R_+ we shall denote the irrelevant homogeneous ideal $\bigoplus_{n > 0} R_n$ of R . If M is a graded R -module, and if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use M_n to denote the n -th graded component of M . Also, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we use $M_{\leq n}$ to denote the R_0 -submodule $\bigoplus_{m \leq n} M_m$ of M and $M_{\geq n}$ to denote the graded R -submodule $\bigoplus_{m \geq n} M_m$ of M . All polynomial rings are furnished with their standard grading.

B) Let r be an integer ≥ 4 , let K be an algebraically closed field and let $S = K[x_0, \dots, x_r]$ be a polynomial ring. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r = \text{Proj}(S)$ be a non-degenerate curve, hence a non-degenerate closed connected integral subscheme of dimension 1. Moreover, let $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$ the sheaf of vanishing ideals of \mathcal{C} , let $I = I_{\mathcal{C}} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{J}(n)) \subseteq S$ denote the vanishing ideal of \mathcal{C} and let $A = A_{\mathcal{C}} := S/I$ denote the homogeneous coordinate ring of \mathcal{C} .

C) Keep the above notation. We use d to denote the degree of \mathcal{C} and \bar{d} to denote the

generating degree of I , thus $\bar{d} = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid I = (I_{\leq n})S\}$. Keeping in mind these definitions we have the inequalities

$$\bar{d} \leq \text{reg } \mathcal{C} = \text{reg } I \leq d - r + 2,$$

in which reg is used to denote Castelnuovo-Mumford regularities (cf. [5]).

D) Let $\mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ be a line, let $L \subseteq S$ be the vanishing ideal of \mathbb{L} and let

$$J = J_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}} = L \cap I \subseteq S$$

be the vanishing ideal of the union $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$. Also let μ denote the degree (thus the length) of the scheme $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{L} = \text{Proj } S/(I + L) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$, so that \mathbb{L} is a μ -secant of \mathcal{C} . As S/L is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in two indeterminates, the vanishing ideal $(I + L)^{\text{sat}} = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (I + L) :_S (S_+)^n \subseteq S$ of the intersection $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ can be written in the following form

$$(I + L)^{\text{sat}} = L + fS \text{ for some } f \in S_\mu.$$

Lemma 2.2. *In the notations of 2.1, we have*

$$\mu \leq \bar{d} \leq \text{reg } \mathcal{C} \leq d - r + 2.$$

Moreover, if $\mu = \bar{d}$, we may choose $f \in I_\mu = I \cap S_\mu$. Finally, if $f \in I_\mu$, then

$$(I + L)^{\text{sat}} = L + fS = I + L \text{ and } I = J + fS.$$

Proof. As L is a prime ideal with $I, S_+ \not\subseteq L$, we have $I_{\bar{d}} \not\subseteq L$. As $I_{\bar{d}} \subseteq L + fS$ it follows $\mu \leq \bar{d}$. Moreover, if $\mu = \bar{d}$, then

$$L_\mu \subsetneq I_\mu + L_\mu \subseteq (L + fS)_\mu = L_\mu + fK,$$

thus $I_\mu + L_\mu = L_\mu + fK$, and this allows to choose $f \in I_\mu$. Finally, whenever $f \in I_\mu$, we have $L + fS \subseteq I + L \subseteq (I + L)^{\text{sat}} = L + fS$. This proves the stated equalities. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If $\mu \geq 2$, then $h^0(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(1)) \leq d - \mu + 3$.*

Proof. Let $\delta := h^0(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(1))$ and consider the graded K -algebra

$$D := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(n)),$$

so that $\delta = \dim_K D_1 \geq r + 1$. As $S/J \subseteq K[D_1] \subseteq D$ and $(S/J)_n = D_n$ for all $n \gg 0$, the inclusion $S/J \hookrightarrow K[D_1]$ yields an isomorphism of schemes

$$\varepsilon : \text{Proj } K[D_1] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Proj}(S/J) = \mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}.$$

Now, set $\mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1} = \text{Proj } T$, where T is the polynomial ring $S[x_{r+1}, \dots, x_{\delta-1}]$ and let π be a surjective homomorphism of graded K -algebras, which appears in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \hookrightarrow & T \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ S/J & \hookrightarrow & K[D_1]. \end{array}$$

Consider $\text{Proj } K[D_1]$ as a closed non-degenerate subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$ by means of π and let $Z = \text{Proj}(T/S_+T)$. Then, $Z \cap \text{Proj } K[D_1] = \emptyset$ and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Proj } K[D_1] & \xrightarrow[\varepsilon]{\sim} & \mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1} \setminus Z & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathbb{P}^r \end{array}$$

in which p is the projection centered at Z . So, for any closed subscheme $Y \subseteq \mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$, we know that $\varepsilon^{-1}(Y)$ is a closed subscheme of $\mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$, isomorphic to Y and of the same degree as Y . Therefore $\mathcal{C}' := \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$ is a reduced irreducible curve of degree d , $\mathbb{L}' := \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{L}) \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$ is a line and $\mathcal{C}' \cup \mathbb{L}' = \text{Proj } K[D_1]$. As

$$\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathbb{L}' = \varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{L}) \simeq \mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{L},$$

we see that \mathbb{L}' is a μ -secant of \mathcal{C}' .

Moreover, \mathcal{C}' is non-degenerately embedded into $\mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$. Otherwise, we could find a hyperplane $H \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$ with $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq H$. As $\mathcal{C}' \cup \mathbb{L}' \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{\delta-1}$ is non-degenerate, this would imply $\mathbb{L}' \not\subseteq H$ and hence $\mathcal{C}' \cap \mathbb{L}' \subseteq H \cap \mathbb{L}' \simeq \text{Spec}(K)$, a contradiction to the assumption $\mu \geq 2$.

But now, by 2.2 we have $\mu \leq d - (\delta - 1) + 2$, thus $\delta \leq d - \mu + 3$. \square

Remark and Definition 2.4. A) We keep the notation of 2.1. In accordance with 2.1 C) we say that \mathcal{C} is of *maximal regularity* if $\text{reg } \mathcal{C} = d - r + 2$.

B) We say that \mathbb{L} is an *extremal secant* of \mathcal{C} if $\mu = \text{reg } \mathcal{C}$. (This is justified in view of 2.2.)

C) On use of the table of [5, p. 504] we can say:

If $d > r + 1$ and if \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity, then \mathcal{C} is smooth, rational and has an extremal secant line.

In particular we can say the following (cf. 2.2):

If $d > r + 1$, the curve \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity if and only if it has a $(d - r + 2)$ -secant line. In this case \mathcal{C} is smooth and rational.

Convention and Remark 2.5. A) We are interested in the case where \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity. For the moment, we do not focus on the two particular cases $d = r$ and $d = r + 1$. So, in view of 2.4 C) it is natural to convene from now on, that $d > r + 1$ and $\mu = d - r + 2$.

B) In view of 2.2 we now can write

$$I = J + fS \quad \text{with } f \in I_{d-r+2} \setminus L,$$

where L denotes the ideal defining the secant line. As $L \subseteq S$ is a prime ideal, we have $J :_S f = (I \cap L) :_S f = L :_S f = L$ and hence get graded isomorphisms

$$I/J \simeq fS/f(J :_S f) \simeq (S/L)(-d + r - 2)$$

which yield the short exact sequence of graded S -modules

$$0 \rightarrow S/L(-d + r - 2) \rightarrow S/J \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0.$$

C) In view of 2.4 C), the curve $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ is smooth and rational. Therefore, the graded K -algebra

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{C}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(n))$$

may be viewed as the homogeneous coordinate ring of a rational normal curve $\mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^d$ of degree d , (cf. [5]). So, if $K[s, t]$ is a polynomial ring, we have an isomorphism of graded K -algebras, $\Gamma(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K[s, t]^{(d)}$, where $R^{(d)}$ is used to denote the d -th Veronesean subring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_{nd}$ of the graded ring $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$.

Notation and Remark 2.6. A) Let R be a non-negatively graded Noetherian K -algebra and let M be a graded R -module. For $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $H^i(M) = H_{R_+}^i(M)$ denote the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to the ideal R_+ . Then $H^i(M)$ is a graded R -module. If M is finitely generated, each graded component of $H^i(M)$ is of finite dimension over K . In this case, we set

$$h^i(M)_n = h_{R_+}^i(M)_n := \dim_K H^i(M)_n.$$

Finally, we use $D(M)$ or $D_{R_+}(M)$ to denote the R_+ -transform $\varinjlim \text{Hom}_R((R_+)^n, M)$ of M , which is again a graded R -module.

B) Let $X = \text{Proj}(R)$ and let $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$ be the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules induced by M . Then, the *Serre-Grothendieck correspondence* yields natural isomorphisms of graded R -modules

$$D(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \text{ and } H^{i+1}(M) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(X, \mathcal{F}(n)) \text{ for all } i > 0.$$

C) Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ be a closed subscheme, $\mathcal{J}_Y \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_K^r}$ its sheaf of vanishing ideals, $N \subseteq S$ its homogeneous vanishing ideal and $\mathcal{C} = S/N$ its homogeneous coordinate ring. Then, by part B), the *Hartshorne-Rao module*

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^1(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{J}_Y(n))$$

of Y is naturally isomorphic to the graded S -module $H^1(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 2.7. *In the notation of 2.6 and under the convention 2.5 we have the following results*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } h^1(A)_n &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } n \notin \{1, \dots, d-r\}, \\ d-r, & \text{for } n = 1, \\ 1, & \text{for } n = d-r. \end{cases} \\ \text{b) } h^2(A)_n &= \max\{0, -dn - 1\} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}. \\ \text{c) } h^1(S/J)_n &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } n \notin \{2, \dots, d-r-1\}, \\ h^1(A)_n - d + r + n - 1, & \text{for } 2 \leq n \leq d-r-1. \end{cases} \\ \text{d) } h^2(S/J)_n &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for all } n > 0, \\ d-r+1, & \text{for } n = 0, \\ -n(d+1) + d-r, & \text{for all } n < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. a): As A is a domain of dimension > 1 and K is algebraically closed, $h^1(A)_n = 0$ for all $n \leq 0$. As $\text{reg } A = \text{reg } \mathcal{C} - 1 = d - r + 1$, we have $h^1(A)_n = 0$ for all $n \geq d - r + 1$. In view of 2.6 C) and the table of [5, p. 504], we have

$$h^1(A)_{d-r} = h^1(\mathbb{P}_K^r, \mathcal{J}(d-r)) = 1.$$

By 2.5 C) and the Serre-Grothendieck correspondence 2.6 B) we get isomorphisms of graded K -algebras

$$D(A) \simeq \Gamma(\mathcal{C}) \simeq K[s, t]^{(d)}.$$

So, the graded exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow D(A) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow 0$ yields $h^1(A)_1 = \dim_K(K[s, t]^{(d)})_1 - \dim_K A_1 = d - r$.

b): Keep in mind the natural isomorphisms of graded A -modules

$$H^2(A) \simeq H^2(D(A)) \simeq H_{A_+}^2(D(A)) \simeq H_{A_+D(A)}^2(D(A)) = H_{\text{Rad } A_+D(A)}^2(D(A))$$

which follow from the fact that $D(A)/A$ is of finite length and from the base ring independence of local cohomology. Because of the isomorphism $D(A) \simeq K[s, t]^{(d)}$ and as $\text{Rad } A_+D(A) = D(A)_+$ we obtain graded isomorphisms

$$H^2(A) \simeq H_{D(A)_+}^2(D(A)) \simeq H_{(K[s, t]^{(d)})_+}^2(K[s, t]^{(d)}) \simeq H_{K[s, t]_+}^2(K[s, t]^{(d)}).$$

Here we have to remind the fact that local cohomology commutes with taking Veroneseans. So it follows $h^2(A)_n = h_{K[s, t]_+}^2(K[s, t]^{(d)})_{dn} = \max\{0, -dn - 1\}$.

c), d): If we apply local cohomology to the short exact sequence of 2.5 B) and keep in mind that $H^i(S/L) = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$ and $h^2(S/L)_n = \max\{0, -n - 1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(S/J)_n \rightarrow H^1(A)_n \rightarrow K^{\max\{0, -n+d-r+1\}} \rightarrow H^2(S/J)_n \rightarrow H^2(A)_n \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from a) that $h^1(S/J)_n = 0$ for all $n \notin \{1, \dots, d-r\}$. By 2.3 and on use of the Serre-Grothendieck correspondence we have

$$\dim_K D(S/J)_1 = h^0(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(1)) \leq r + 1.$$

Hence, the graded short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S/J \rightarrow D(S/J) \rightarrow H^1(S/J) \rightarrow 0$ induces $h^1(S/J)_1 \leq r + 1 - \dim_K(S/J)_1$. As $J_1 \subseteq I_1 = 0$, we get $h^1(S/J)_1 = 0$.

If we apply the above sequence with $n = 1$ and keep in mind statements a) and b), it follows $h^2(S/J)_1 = 0$ and hence $h^2(S/J)_n = 0$ for all $n > 0$. Now another use of statements a), b) and the above exact sequences proves statements d) and c). \square

3. ON THE STRUCTURE OF S/J

We keep the notation introduced in 2.1 and the convention made in 2.5. Our aim is to study the homogeneous coordinate ring S/J of the union $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ and to relate it to the curve \mathcal{C} and its Hartshorne-Rao module $H^1(A)$. We start with a few general observations.

Remark 3.1. A) For a finitely generated graded module M over a non-negatively graded Noetherian ring $R = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_n$ and for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let

$$a_i(M) := \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid H_{R_+}^i(M)_n \neq 0\},$$

with the convention that $\sup \emptyset = -\infty$. In this notation it follows from 2.7 c), d), that

$$\text{reg } S/J = \max\{2, a_1(A) + 1\} \leq d - r.$$

B) As a consequence of this last observation we have $\text{reg } J \leq d - r + 1$. By 2.5 B) we have $I = J + fS$ for some $f \in I_{d-r+2}$. As J is generated in degrees $\leq \text{reg } J$, it follows

$$J = (I_{\leq \text{reg } J})S = (I_{\leq d-r+1})S.$$

C) As a consequence of the previous equalities we have

$$L = (J :_S f) = (J :_S (J_{d-r+2} + fK)) = ((I_{\leq d-r+1}) :_S I_{d-r+2}),$$

so that L is determined by I and hence \mathbb{L} by \mathcal{C} . This shows that \mathbb{L} is the unique extremal secant line of \mathcal{C} .

We add a few more observations concerning the Hartshorne-Rao modules $H^1(A)$ and $H^1(S/J)$ of the curves $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ resp. $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$.

Remark 3.2. A) As $H^i(D(A)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$ and as $H^2(D(A)) \simeq H^2(A)$, it follows from 2.7 b) that the A -module $D(A)$ satisfies $\text{reg } D(A) = 1$. So, as a graded A -module, $D(A)$ is generated in degrees ≤ 1 . In view of the natural epimorphism

$$D(A) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow 0$$

and as $H^1(A)_0 = 0$ (cf. 2.7 a)) it follows, that $H^1(A)$ is generated in degree 1, and thus $H^1(A) = (H^1(A)_1)S$.

B) As $H^i(D(S/J)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$ and as $H^2(D(S/J)) \simeq H^2(S/J)$, it follows from 2.7 d) that $\text{reg } D(S/J) = 2$, so that the S/J -module $D(S/J)$ is generated in degrees ≤ 2 . In view of the natural epimorphism

$$D(S/J) \rightarrow H^1(S/J) \rightarrow 0$$

and as $H^1(S/J)_{\leq 1} = 0$ (cf. 2.7 c)), it follows that $H^1(S/J)$ is generated in degree 2, thus $H^1(S/J) = (H^1(S/J)_2)S$.

C) For a graded S -module T , let $\text{soc } T$ denote the *socle* $0 :_S S_+ = \text{Hom}_S(K, T)$ of T . If we apply cohomology to the sequence of 2.5 B) and keep in mind the left-exactness of the functor soc , we get an exact sequence of graded S -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{soc } H^1(S/J) \rightarrow \text{soc } H^1(A) \rightarrow \text{soc } H^2(S/L(-d + r - 2)).$$

As $\text{soc } H^2(S/L(-d + r - 2)) = K(-d + r)$ and as $H^1(S/J)_{d-r} = 0$, (cf. 2.7 c)), we thus get an isomorphism of graded S -modules

$$\text{soc } H^1(A) \simeq \text{soc}(H^1(S/J)) \oplus K(-d + r),$$

which relates the socles of the Hartshorne-Rao modules of the two curves \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$.

Resuming our previous results, we get the following Cohen-Macaulay (CM) criterion for the ring S/J .

Theorem 3.3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) S/J is CM.
- (ii) $H^1(S/J) = 0$.
- (iii) $h^1(S/J)_2 = 0$.
- (iv) $\text{reg } S/J = 2$.
- (v) $h^1(A)_n = d - r + 1 - n$, for $n = 1, \dots, d - r$.
- (vi) $h^1(A)_2 \leq d - r - 1$.
- (vii) $\text{soc } H^1(A) = K(r - d)$.
- (viii) *There is an isomorphism of graded S -modules*

$$H^1(A) \simeq H^2(S/L)(-d + r - 2)_{\geq 1}.$$

- (ix) *There are independent linear forms $y_0, \dots, y_r \in S_1$ and an isomorphism of graded S -modules*

$$H^1(A) \simeq \text{Hom}_K(S/((y_0, y_1)^{d-r} + (y_2, \dots, y_r)), K)(r - d).$$

Proof. (i) \iff (ii): This is clear, as $\dim S/J = 2$ and $H^0(S/J) = 0$.

(ii) \iff (iii): Clear, as $H^1(S/J)$ is generated in degree 2 (cf. 3.2 B).

(ii) \iff (iv): Clear by the estimate of 3.1 A) and the fact that $H^1(S/J)_{\leq 1} = 0$.

(ii) \iff (v): Clear by 2.7 a) and c).

(iii) \iff (vi): Clear by 2.7 c).

(ii) \iff (vii): As $H^1(S/J)_{\geq d-r} = 0$ (cf. 2.7 c)) and as $H^1(S/J) = 0$ if and only if $\text{soc } H^1(S/J) = 0$, we conclude by the isomorphism of 3.2 C).

(ii) \implies (viii): If we apply the cohomology functor to the exact sequence of 2.5 B), keep in mind that $H^1(S/J) = 0$ and $H^1(A)_{\leq 0} = H^2(S/J)_{\geq 1} = 0$ (cf. 2.7 a), d)) we get an isomorphism of graded S -modules $H^1(A) \simeq H^2(S/L)(-d + r - 2)_{\geq 1}$.

(viii) \implies (ix): Choose linear forms $y_0, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r \in S_1$ such that $L = (y_2, \dots, y_r)$ and $S_+ = (y_0, y_1) + L$. Then, there is an isomorphism of graded S -modules

$$S/((y_0, y_1)^{d-r} + (y_2, \dots, y_r)) \simeq (S/L)/(S/L)_{\geq d-r}.$$

Moreover, there is an isomorphism of graded S -modules

$$\text{Hom}_K((S/L)/(S/L)_{\geq d-r}, K) \simeq \text{Hom}_K(S/L, K)_{\geq r-d+1}.$$

By graded local duality, there is an isomorphism of graded S -modules

$$\text{Hom}_K(S/L, K) \simeq H^2(S/L)(-2).$$

So, there are isomorphisms of graded S -modules

$$H^2(S/L)(-d + r - 2)_{\geq 1} \simeq \text{Hom}_K(S/L, K)(-d + r)_{\geq 1} \simeq$$

$$\text{Hom}_K(S/L, K)_{\geq r-d+1}(r - d) \simeq \text{Hom}_K(S/((y_0, y_1)^{d-r} + (y_2, \dots, y_r)), K)(r - d).$$

(ix) \implies (vi): This follows by a direct calculation. \square

Our next aim is to prove a sufficient criterion for S/J to be CM. We begin with a preliminary remark.

Remark 3.4. A) Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^s$ a scheme of d points in semi-uniform position (cf. [7]), let $N \subseteq T := K[x_0, \dots, x_s]$ be the homogeneous vanishing ideal of X so that T/N is the homogeneous coordinate ring of X . Then, according to [3] or [7], we have the estimate

$$\operatorname{reg} T/N = \operatorname{reg} N - 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{s} \right\rfloor.$$

B) Let X as in A) and assume in addition that $d \leq 2s$. Then, the ideal N satisfies the condition N_p of Green-Lazarsfeld with $p = 2s + 1 - d$ (cf. [3, Theorem 1]). Thus, in particular N is generated by quadrics.

Now, we are ready to prove the announced Cohen-Macaulay criterion.

Proposition 3.5. *If $d < 2r - 1$, then S/J is a Cohen-Macaulay ring.*

Proof. Let $\ell \in S_1$ be a generic linear form so that $\operatorname{Proj}(A/\ell A) = \operatorname{Proj}(S/(I, \ell))$ is a scheme of d points in semi-uniform position. After a linear coordinate transformation we may assume that $\ell = x_r$ and set $S/x_r S = K[x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}] = T$. Then,

$$\operatorname{Proj}(A/x_r A) = \operatorname{Proj}(T/IT) \subseteq \operatorname{Proj} T = \mathbb{P}_K^{r-1}$$

is a scheme of d points in semi-uniform position with vanishing ideal

$$N = (IT)^{\operatorname{sat}} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (IT :_T (T_+)^n).$$

By 3.4 B) we know that N is generated by quadrics. In view of the natural isomorphism $H^0(A/x_r A) \simeq N/IT$ it follows that $H^0(A/x_r A)$ is generated in degree two. If we apply cohomology to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A(-1) \xrightarrow{x_r} A \rightarrow A/x_r A \rightarrow 0$, we get exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(A/x_r A)_n \rightarrow H^1(A)_{n-1} \rightarrow H^1(A)_n \rightarrow H^1(A/x_r A)_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As

$$H^1(A/x_r A)_n \simeq H^1(T/IT)_n \simeq H^1(T/N)_n = 0$$

for all $n \geq \operatorname{reg} T/N$, 3.4 A) yields that $H^1(A/x_r A)_n = 0$ for all $n \geq \left\lfloor \frac{d-1}{r-1} \right\rfloor = 2$. If we apply the above sequence with $n = d - r + 1$ and observe 2.7 a), it follows $H^0(A/x_r A)_{d-r+1} \neq 0$. As $H^0(A/x_r A)$ is generated in degree 2 we get $H^0(A/x_r A)_2 \neq 0$. Applying the above sequence with $n = 2$ and observing 2.7 a) we get $h^1(A)_2 \leq h^1(A)_1 - 1 = d - r - 1$. So, by 3.3 we get that S/J is CM. \square

We know by 3.2 B) that $H^1(S/J)$ is generated in degree 2. We close this section with a result on the number of generators of the module $H^1(S/J)$.

Proposition 3.6. $H^1(S/J)$ is minimally generated by

$$\dim_K I_2 - \binom{r+1}{2} + d + 1$$

homogeneous elements of degree 2. In particular we have

$$\dim_K I_2 \geq \binom{r+1}{2} - d - 1$$

with equality if and only if S/J is CM.

Proof. By 2.7 c) we have $h^1(S/J)_2 = h^1(A)_2 - d + r + 1$. In view of the graded exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow D(A) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$h^1(A)_2 = \dim_K D(A)_2 - \dim_K A_2.$$

Moreover the graded isomorphism $D(A) \simeq K[s, t]^{(d)}$ (cf. 2.5 C), 2.6 B) yields $\dim_K D(A)_2 = 2d + 1$. As $\dim_K A_2 = \dim_K S_2 - \dim_K I_2$ and $\dim_K S_2 = \binom{r+1}{2}$ we obtain $h^1(S/J)_2 = \dim_K I_2 - \binom{r+1}{2} + d + 1$. On use of this equality and of 3.3 all our claims follow. \square

4. BETTI NUMBERS

We keep our previous notation and hypothesis. We shall relate now the Betti numbers of the S -module A to the Betti numbers of the S -modules S/J and $H^1(A)$. Our interest shall be focused to the case in which S/J is CM. Nevertheless we begin with a few more general considerations.

First of all, we have the following relation between the Betti modules of A and of S/J .

Proposition 4.1. Let $t := \text{reg } S/J$. Then $t \leq d - r$ and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ we have

$$\text{Tor}_i^S(K, A) \simeq \text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J) \oplus K^{\binom{r-1}{i-1}}(-i - d + r + 1).$$

Proof. As $\text{depth } S/J > 0$ we have

$$\text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J)_{i+j} = 0 \text{ if } (i, j) \notin \{1, \dots, r\} \times \{1, \dots, t\}.$$

Moreover we have $\text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/L) \simeq K^{\binom{r-1}{i}}(-i)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By the sequence of 2.5 B), for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} K^{\binom{r-1}{i}}(-i - d + r - 2)_{i+j} &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J)_{i+j} \rightarrow \\ \text{Tor}_i^S(K, A)_{i+j} &\rightarrow K^{\binom{r-1}{i-1}}(-i - d + r - 1)_{i+j} \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, S/J)_{i+j}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J)_{i+j} \simeq \text{Tor}_i^S(K, A)_{i+j}$ for all $j \notin \{d - r + 1, d - r + 2\}$. As $t = \text{reg } S/J \leq d - r$ (cf. 3.1 a)), we have $\text{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J)_{i+j} = \text{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, S/J)_{i+j} = 0$ for all $j \geq d - r + 1$. Now, our claim follows easily. \square

We convene that $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \dots, a\}$. Concerning the Betti modules of the S -module $D(A)$ we have the following auxiliary result, which shall be used later to determine the Betti numbers of $H^1(A)$. It generalizes and simplifies the corresponding result in [1, (5.3) (b)].

Lemma 4.2. *For $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ let $c_i := (d-1)\binom{r-1}{i} - \binom{r-1}{i-1}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, D(A)) = \begin{cases} K(0) \oplus K^{d-r}(-1), & \text{for } i = 0 \\ K^{c_i}(-i-1), & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In view of the natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow D(A) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow 0$$

and as $H^1(A)$ is minimally generated by $d-r$ homogeneous elements of degree 1 (cf. 2.7 a), 3.1), we have $\mathrm{Tor}_0^S(K, D(A)) \simeq K(0) \oplus K^{d-r}(-1)$. As $H^1(A)$ is generated in degree 1, we also have $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, H^1(A))_1 = 0$. As I is generated in degrees ≥ 2 , the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow S \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ gives $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, A)_1 = 0$. It follows that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, D(A))_1 = 0$. As $\mathrm{reg} D(A) = 1$ (cf. 3.2 A)) and as $\mathrm{depth} D(A) = 2$, it follows that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, D(A)) \simeq K^{c_i}(-i-1)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $i \leq r-1$ and $c_i = 0$ for $i \geq r$.

As $\dim_K D(A)_n = \min\{0, 1 + nd\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hilbert series of the S -module $D(A)$ is $F(t, D(A)) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (1 + nd)t^n = (1-t)^{-2}Q(t)$, with $Q(t) = 1 + (d-1)t$. As

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (d-r)t - c_1t^2 + c_2t^3 - \dots + (-1)^{r-1}c_{r-1}t^r = \\ \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} (-1)^i \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, D(A))_j t^j = (1-t)^{r-1}Q(t) \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $c_i = (d-1)\binom{r-1}{i} - \binom{r-1}{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. \square

Now, we consider the Betti numbers of the Hartshorne-Rao module $H^1(A)$.

Remark 4.3. In view of the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow D(A) \rightarrow H^1(A) \rightarrow 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get exact sequences of K -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^S(K, H^1(A))_{i+j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, A)_{i+j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, D(A))_{i+j} \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H^1(A))_{i+j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, A)_{i+j} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, D(A))_{i+j} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.4. *In our previous notation we have with $H := H^1(A)$:*

- a) $\mathrm{Tor}_0^S(K, H) \simeq K^{d-r}(-1)$.
- b) $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, H) \simeq K^{c_1 - \dim_K I_2}(-2)$.
- c) $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H) \simeq K^{a_i}(-i-1) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, A)_{\geq i+2}$ with $a_i \leq \binom{r+1}{i}(d-r)$ for $i \in \{2, \dots, r\}$.
- d) $\mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^S(K, H) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_r^S(K, A)_{\geq r+3}$.

Proof. As H is minimally generated by $d - r$ elements of degree 1 (cf. 2.7 a), 3.2 A), we get statement a) and the fact that $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H)_{\leq i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If we apply the six term exact sequence of 4.3 with $i = 1$ and keep in mind that $\mathrm{Tor}_0^S(K, A) = K$, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, A)_2 \simeq I_2$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_1^S(K, D(A)) = K^{c_1}(-2)$ (cf. 4.2) we thus get statement b).

Also, in view of the exact sequence of 4.3 and observing 4.2, we obtain graded isomorphisms $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H) \simeq K^{a_i}(-i-1) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, A)_{\geq i+2}$ for all $i \geq 2$. It remains to show that $a_i \leq \binom{r+1}{i}(d-r)$ for $i = \{2, \dots, r\}$ and that $a_{r+1} = 0$.

To derive the stated inequality, write $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H)$ as the i -th cohomology module $\mathrm{Ker}(\partial_i)/\mathrm{Im}(\partial_{i+1})$ of the Koszul complex

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{i+1}^{(r+1)}(-i-1) \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} H_i^{(r+1)}(-i) \xrightarrow{\partial_i} H_{i-1}^{(r+1)}(-i+1) \rightarrow \dots$$

of H with respect to x_0, \dots, x_r and observe that $H_{i+1}^{(r+1)}(-i-1)_{i+1} = H_0^{(r+1)} = 0$ and $H_i^{(r+1)}(-i)_{i+1} = H_1^{(r+1)} \simeq (K^{d-r})^{(r+1)}$ (cf. 2.7 a)).

Finally, the natural graded isomorphism $\mathrm{soc} H \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^S(K, H)(r+1)$, the socle isomorphism of 3.2 C) and the vanishing of $h^1(S/J)_1$ (cf. 2.7 c)) show that

$$K^{a_{r+1}} \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_{r+1}^S(K, H)_{r+2} \simeq (\mathrm{soc} H)_1 = 0.$$

□

In case S/J is CM , the Betti modules of the Hartshorne-Rao module $H^1(A)$ can be determined precisely:

Proposition 4.5. *For $i \in \{0, \dots, r+1\}$ let $a_i := (d-r+1)\binom{r-1}{i-1} + (d-r)\binom{r-1}{i}$ and $b_i := \binom{r-1}{i-2}$. Assume that S/J is CM . Then, for all $i \in \{0, \dots, r+1\}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H^1(A)) \simeq K^{a_i}(-i-1) \oplus K^{b_i}(-i-d+r).$$

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$ we set

$$M_m := S/((x_0, x_1)^a + (x_2, \dots, x_m))$$

and $u_i := (a+1)\binom{m-1}{i-1} + a\binom{m-1}{i-2}$ and $v_i := \binom{m-1}{i}$. By induction on m we wish to show:

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, M_m) \simeq K^{u_i}(-i+1-a) \oplus K^{v_i}(-i).$$

By the Hilbert-Burch Theorem $M_1 = S/(x_0, x_1)^a$ has a minimal free resolution of the shape

$$0 \rightarrow S^a(-a-1) \rightarrow S^{a+1}(-a-1) \rightarrow S \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves the above claim if $m = 1$. So, let $m > 1$. Then, the graded short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{m-1}(-1) \xrightarrow{x_m} M_{m-1} \rightarrow M_m \rightarrow 0$$

induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, M_m) \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, M_{m-1}) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, M_{m-1})(-1)$$

for all $i \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. On use of the Pascal formulae for binomial coefficients we may perform the induction step needed to prove the above claim. Now, choose $m = r, a = d - r$ and set $M := M_r$. Then, by the previous claim we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, M) \simeq K^{u_i}(-i + 1 - d + r) \oplus K^{v_i}(-i),$$

with $u_i = (d - r + 1) \binom{r-1}{i-1} + (d - r) \binom{r-1}{i-2}$ and $v_i = \binom{r-1}{i}$ for each $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume by Theorem 3.3 that

$$H := H^1(A) = \mathrm{Hom}_K(M, K)(r - d),$$

hence by graded local duality, that

$$H \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_S^{r+1}(M, S(-r - 1))(r - d) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_S^{r+1}(M, S)(-d - 1).$$

Let $0 \rightarrow F_{r+1} \rightarrow F_r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a graded minimal free resolution of M . As M is of finite length over S , we have $\mathrm{Ext}_S^i(M, S) = 0$ for all $i \neq r + 1$ and thus get a minimal free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(F_0, S) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(F_{r+1}, S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_S^{r+1}(M, S) \rightarrow 0$$

of $H(d + 1)$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H) &\simeq \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, \mathrm{Ext}_S^{r+1}(M, S))(-d - 1) \simeq \\ &K \otimes_S \mathrm{Hom}_S(F_{r+1-i}, S)(-d - 1) \simeq K^{u_{r+1-i}}(-i - 1) \oplus K^{v_{r+1-i}}(-i - d - r). \end{aligned}$$

As $u_{r+1-i} = a_i, v_{r+1-i} = b_i$ we get our claim. \square

Finally, if S/J is CM, the Betti numbers of A can be approximated as follows:

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that S/J is CM and that $d > r + 1$. Then, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ we have*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, A) \simeq K^{u_i}(i - 1) \oplus K^{v_i}(-i - 2) \oplus K^{\binom{r-1}{i-1}}(-i - d + r - 1),$$

where u_i and v_i are given resp. bounded according to the following table

i	1	$2 \leq i \leq r - 2$	$r - 1$	r
u_i	$\binom{r+1}{2} - d - 1$	$\leq c_i$	$\leq d - 1$	0
v_i	$\leq (d - 1) \binom{r}{2} + (r - 1)$	$\leq a_{i+1}$	$d - r + 1$	0

in which c_i and a_{i+1} are defined according to 4.3 resp. 4.5. Moreover $u_i - v_{i-1} = c_i - a_i$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, r - 1\}$.

Proof. The stated general shape of the Betti module $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, A)$ follows from Proposition 4.1, as I is generated in degree ≥ 2 and as $\mathrm{reg} S/J = 2$ (cf. Theorem 3.3). The requested value of u_1 is a consequence of Proposition 3.6. The vanishing of u_r and v_r is a consequence of Proposition 4.1.

By Proposition 4.5 and Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^S(K, H^1(A))_{i+1} \simeq \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^S(K, D(A))_{i+1} = 0$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, H^1(A))_{i+1} \simeq K^{a_i}$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, D(A))_{i+1} \simeq K^{c_i}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$. So, the sequences of 4.3 imply that $u_i \leq c_i, v_i \leq a_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$, $u_i - v_{i-1} = c_i - a_i$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\}$ and $v_{r-1} = a_r$. \square

5. EXAMPLES

We keep the hypotheses and notations of the previous sections. We also introduce the notation

$$\beta_{ij} := \dim_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^S(K, A)_{i+j}$$

for the Betti numbers of \mathcal{C} .

Remark 5.1. A) We first consider the "exceptional case" in which $d = r + 1$, a case which has been excluded previously by the convention made in 2.5 A). In this case we know that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ is either an elliptic normal curve, or the projection of a rational normal curve $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{r+1}$ from a generic point or else a singular rational curve, obtained by projecting a rational normal curve $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{r+1}$ from a point which lies precisely on one secant line of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, (cf. [1, (4.7) B])). In the first and the third case, \mathcal{C} is of arithmetic genus 1, so that $H^2(A)_0 \neq 0$. In the second case we have $H^1(A)_1 \neq 0$. So, in all three cases we have $\mathrm{reg} \mathcal{C} = \mathrm{reg} A + 1 \geq 3$ and hence $\mathrm{reg} \mathcal{C} = 3$, (cf. 2.2). So \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity in any case.

B) If \mathcal{C} has a 3-secant line \mathbb{L} , then by 2.2 we know that I is generated by quadrics and one cubic. According to [5, p. 504] or to [6] this only may occur in the case where \mathcal{C} is smooth and rational. From the proof of Theorem (3.1) in [5] (cf. p. 503) it follows that our curve \mathcal{C} always lies on a rational surface scroll $\mathbb{S}_{r-1-2a,a} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$, ($0 \leq a \leq \frac{r-1}{2}$) (we use the notation of [1, (6.1)]). Moreover, by [5, Remark (2), p. 504], \mathcal{C} has a trisecant line if and only if $a = 1$.

C) Let us assume now, that \mathcal{C} has a trisecant line \mathbb{L} . Then, for some $f \in S_3 \setminus L$ we have $I = J + fS, I + L = L + fS$ (cf. 2.2) and the resulting exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S/J \rightarrow S/L \oplus A \rightarrow S/(L + fS) \rightarrow 0$$

together with the fact that $H^1(A)_n = 0$ for all $n \neq 1$ shows that $H^1(S/J)_n = 0$ for all $n \neq 1$. If we apply 2.3 with $\mu = 3$ we also obtain

$$r + 1 + h^1(S/J)_1 = \dim(S/J)_1 + h^1(S/J)_1 = h^0(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}}(1)) \leq r + 1,$$

hence $h^1(S/J)_1 = 0$. Therefore $H^1(S/J) = 0$ and S/J becomes CM, too. So, the statement of 3.5 remains valid.

Examples 5.2. We consider the two non-degenerate rational curves $\mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{10}$ of degree 11, ($k = 1, 2$) given parametrically by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &: (s^{11} : s^{10}t : s^9t^2 : s^7t^4 : s^6t^5 : s^5t^6 : s^4t^7 : s^3t^8 : s^2t^9 : st^{10} : t^{11}), \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &: (s^{11} : s^{10}t : s^8t^3 : s^7t^4 : s^6t^5 : s^5t^6 : s^4t^7 : s^3t^8 : s^2t^9 : st^{10} : t^{11}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily seen, that \mathcal{C}_k lies on the rational surface scroll $\mathbb{S}_{9-2k,k}$ for $k = 1, 2$. Both curves are obviously smooth and obtained by projecting a rational normal curve $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^{r+1}$ from a point (which avoids all secant lines). In particular, both curves are of regularity 3. Moreover for the Betti numbers β_{ij} of $A = A_{\mathcal{C}_k}$ we have

k	i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	β_{i1}	43	221	550	812	742	398	91	8	0	0
	β_{i2}	0	1	8	28	56	70	84	45	11	1
2	β_{i1}	43	222	558	840	798	468	147	8	0	0
	β_{i2}	1	9	36	84	126	126	84	45	11	1

The non-vanishing of the Betti number $\beta_{8,1}$ is in perfect coincidence with the observation that both curves \mathcal{C}_k lie on a rational surface scroll, e.g. a surface of minimal degree (cf. [2, 3.C.1]).

In the case $k = 1$ we have $\beta_{12} = 0$, so that I is generated by quadrics. In view of 5.1 B) \mathcal{C}_1 has no trisecant line. In the case $k = 2$ we have $\beta_{12} = 1$, so that I is generated by quadrics and one cubic and we may expect that \mathcal{C} has a trisecant line \mathbb{L} . This holds indeed by [5, p. 504]. In particular S/J must be CM by 5.1 C). In fact, for the Betti numbers

$$\gamma_{ij} := \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_i^S(K, S/J)_{i+j}$$

of S/J we get the following values

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
γ_{i1}	43	222	558	840	798	468	147	8	0	0
γ_{i2}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	2	0

Observe also, that in both cases the number of generating quadrics is $\binom{12}{2} - 12 = 43$, in accordance with 3.6.

It is easy to see that for each $r \geq 3$ and each $d > r + 1$ there are non-degenerate curves $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ of maximal regularity and of degree d lying on a rational surface scroll $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$. But in general, curves of maximal regularity need not lie on a scroll.

Example 5.3. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^8$ be the non-degenerate rational curve given parametrically by

$$\mathcal{C} : (s^{11} : s^{10}t : s^9t^2 : s^8t^3 : s^7t^4 : s^6t^5 : s^5t^6 : (st^{10} + s^2t^9) : t^{11}).$$

Calculating the Betti numbers β_{ij} we get

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
β_{i1}	24	84	126	84	20	0	0	0
β_{i2}	0	0	0	20	36	21	4	0
β_{i3}	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i4}	1	7	21	35	35	21	7	1

In particular we get $\operatorname{reg} A = 4$, thus $\operatorname{reg} \mathcal{C} = 5 = 11 - 8 - 2$, so that \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity. As $\beta_{61} = \dim_K \operatorname{Tor}_K^6(K, A)_7 = 0$, Green's $K_{p,1}$ -Theorem shows that \mathcal{C} does not lie on a surface scroll (cf. [2]).

By 3.5 – and in accordance with 3.6 – S/J is CM. Moreover, by 4.1 and 3.3 (iv), the first two lines of the previous table describe the Betti numbers of S/J .

By 3.5 we know that S/J is CM if $d < 2r - 1$. The next example illustrates that this result is sharp: There are non-degenerate curves $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^r$ of maximal regularity and of degree $d = 2r - 1$ such that S/J is not a CM ring.

Example 5.4. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^6$ be given by

$$\mathcal{C} : (s^{11} : s^{10}t : s^9t^2 : s^8t^3 : s^7t^4 : st^{10} : t^{11}),$$

so that \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate and of degree $11 = 2 \cdot 6 - 1$. Here, the Betti numbers β_{ij} take the following values

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
β_{i1}	10	20	15	4	0	0
β_{i2}	3	10	10	0	0	0
β_{i3}	1	5	10	15	7	1
β_{i4}	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i5}	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i6}	1	5	10	10	5	1

We see that $\text{reg } A = 6$, so that $\text{reg } \mathcal{C} = 7 = 11 - 6 + 2 = d - r + 2$. Hence \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity. But now S/J is not a CM-ring. One way to see this, is to apply 4.1 and to observe 3.3 (iv). One also could observe that the number β_{11} of generating quadrics of I is $10 \neq \binom{6+1}{2} - 11 - 1 = 9$ and apply 3.6. Moreover, the first three lines of the above table provide the Betti numbers of S/J (see 4.1). Also, by 3.6, $H^1(S/J)$ is minimally generated by one element of degree 2 and the socle formula of 3.2 C) shows that $H^1(S/J)_n = 0$ for all $n > 2$. So, S/J is a Buchsbaum ring with $H^1(S/J) = K(-2)$.

One might ask, whether the converse of 3.5 is true. We shall give an example showing that this is not the case in general: There are non-degenerate curves $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r$ of maximal regularity of degree $d \geq 2r - 1$ and such that S/J is a CM ring.

Example 5.5. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^6$ be the curve of degree 11 defined parametrically by

$$\mathcal{C} : (s^{11} : s^{10}t : s^9t^2 : s^8t^3 : s^7t^4 : (s^2t^9 + st^{10}) : t^{11}).$$

Here, the Betti numbers of \mathcal{C} are as listed below:

i	1	2	3	4	5	6
β_{i1}	9	16	9	0	0	0
β_{i2}	6	24	36	25	6	0
β_{i3}	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i4}	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i5}	0	0	0	0	0	0
β_{i6}	1	5	10	10	5	1

So, first of all we have $\text{reg } \mathcal{C} = \text{reg } A + 1 = 7 = 11 - 6 + 2 = d - r + 2$ so that \mathcal{C} is again of maximal regularity. The number of generating quadrics is $9 = \binom{6+1}{2} - 11 - 1 = \binom{r+1}{2} - d - 1$ so that S/J is a CM-ring by 3.6. On the other hand

we have $d = 11 = 2 \cdot 6 - 1 = 2r - 1$. Again, by 4.1 the first two lines of the above diagram furnishes the Betti numbers of $\mathcal{C} \cup \mathbb{L}$.

By 3.3 we know that S/J is CM if and only if $\text{soc } H^1(A) \simeq K(r - d)$, whereas in general we have $\text{soc } H^1(A) \simeq \text{soc}(H^1(S/J)) \oplus K(r - d)$ (see 3.2 C). We present two examples which illustrate how much $\text{soc } H^1(A)$ may vary in general.

Examples 5.6. We consider two curves $\mathcal{C}_k \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^6$ of degree 13, ($k = 1, 2$) given parametrically by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &: (s^{13} : s^{12}t : s^{11}t^2 : s^{10}t^3 : s^9t^4 : st^{12} : t^{13}), \\ \mathcal{C}_2 &: (s^{13} : s^{12}t : s^{11}t^2 : s^{10}t^3 : s^9t^4 : (st^{12} - s^2t^{11}) : t^{13}). \end{aligned}$$

The Betti numbers are listed below

k	i	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	β_{i1}	10	20	15	4	0	0
	β_{i2}	1	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i3}	0	10	20	15	4	0
	β_{i4}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i5}	1	5	10	10	5	1
	β_{i6}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i7}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i8}	1	5	10	10	5	1
2	β_{i1}	9	16	9	0	0	0
	β_{i2}	2	4	0	1	0	0
	β_{i3}	2	14	36	34	14	2
	β_{i4}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i5}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i6}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i7}	0	0	0	0	0	0
	β_{i8}	1	5	10	10	5	1

In both cases we have $\text{reg } \mathcal{C}_k = 9 = 13 - 6 + 2 = d - r + 2$, so that \mathcal{C} is of maximal regularity.

Moreover, by 4.1, we read off that $\text{reg } S/J = 6$ resp. 4 in the case $k = 1$ resp. $k = 2$. So, by 3.3 clearly S/J is not CM in either case. Keeping in mind that (cf. 4.4 d) for the second isomorphism)

$$\text{soc } H^1(A) \simeq \text{Tor}_{r+1}^S(K, H^1(A)) \simeq \text{Tor}_r^S(K, A)_{r \geq 3}(r + 1) \simeq \bigoplus_{j \geq 3} K^{\beta_{rj}}(-j + 1)$$

we thus get

$$\text{soc } H^1(A) \simeq \begin{cases} K(-4) \oplus K(-7), & \text{if } k = 1, \\ K^2(-2) \oplus K(-7), & \text{if } k = 2. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it follows that S/J is a Buchsbaum ring with $H^1(S/J) \simeq K^2(-2)$ in the case $k = 2$ while it is not a Buchsbaum ring in the case $k = 1$.

Further examples in higher degrees show that $\text{soc } H^1(A)$ may indeed vary rather strongly.

REFERENCES

- [1] BRODMANN, M., SCHENZEL, P.: *Curves of Degree $r + 2$ in \mathbb{P}^r : Cohomological, Geometric, and Homological Aspects*. J. of Algebra **242** (2001), 577 - 623.
- [2] GREEN, M.: *Koszul Homology and the Geometry of Projective Varieties*. J. of Differential Geometry **19** (1984), 125 - 171.
- [3] GREEN, M., LAZARFELD, R.: *Some Results on the Syzygies of Finite Sets and Algebraic Curves*. Compositio Math. **67** (1988), 301 - 314.
- [4] GREUEL, G.-M., PFISTER, G. et al.: *Singular 2.0, A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations*. Center for Computer Algebra, Univ. of Kaiserslautern (2001) (<http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>).
- [5] GRUSON, L., LAZARFELD, R., PESKINE, C.: *On a Theorem of Castelnuovo and the Equations defining Space Curves*. Inventiones Math. **72** (1983), 491 - 506.
- [6] HOA, T., STÜCKRAD, J., VOGEL, W.: *Towards a structure theory of projective varieties of degree = codimension + 2*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **71** (1991), 203 - 231.
- [7] NAGEL, U.: *Über Gradschranken für Syzygien und komologische Hilbertfunktionen*. Dissertation, Univ. Paderborn (1990).

UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, WINTERTHURER STR. 190, CH — 8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: brodmann@math.unizh.ch

MARTIN-LUTHER-UNIVERSITÄT HALLE-WITTENBERG, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, D — 06 099 HALLE (SAALE), GERMANY

E-mail address: schenzel@mathematik.uni-halle.de