

An enlargement of filtration formula with application to progressive enlargement with multiple random times

Libo Li
University of New South Wales

Enlargement of Filtration and Financial Applications, Part I

A joint work with M. Jeanblanc and S. Song

1. Introduction and Motivation

Motivating Question

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be the usual filtered probability space with a filtration \mathbb{F} satisfying the usual conditions.

Well Know Fact

The minimum and maximum of two \mathbb{F} -stopping time is once again a \mathbb{F} -stopping time.

Question:

Which properties of random times (in view of enlargement of filtration) are preserved under minimum \wedge and maximum \vee .

Enlargement of Filtration

Definition

Let \mathbb{G} be any filtration such that $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{G}_t$ for every $t \geq 0$. Then we write $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G}$ and we say that \mathbb{G} is an *enlargement* of \mathbb{F} .

Hypothesis (H):

- Any \mathbb{F} -local martingale is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Hypothesis (H'):

- Any \mathbb{F} -semimartingale is a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale.

If the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} , we write

$$\mathbb{F} \overset{H'}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbb{G}.$$

Definition (Progressive enlargement)

Given a random time τ , we denote by \mathbb{F}^τ the smallest enlargement of \mathbb{F} such that τ is a stopping time.

Definition (Initial enlargement)

Given a random time τ , we denote by $\mathbb{F}^{\sigma(\tau)}$ the smallest enlargement of \mathbb{F} such that τ is measurable wrt to the filtration at time zero.

Motivating Question

Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are random times such that

$$\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_2}$$

then

- Do we have $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$ (or $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \vee T_2}$)?
- How to compute the drift of \mathbb{F} -martingales in $\mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$?

Classification of the existing techniques

Category I

The technique in the current literature, to proof hypothesis (H') and compute of the semimartingale decomposition in the large filtration can essentially be divided into three categories;

1. Measurable

Exploit the relationship between the \mathbb{F} -predictable sets and \mathbb{G} -predictable sets. This technique is used in:

- the work of Barlow [B] in treating the progressive enlargement with honest times.

Classification of the existing techniques

Category I

The technique in the current literature, to proof hypothesis (H') and compute of the semimartingale decomposition in the large filtration can essentially be divided into three categories;

1. Measurable

Exploit the relationship between the \mathbb{F} -predictable sets and \mathbb{G} -predictable sets. This technique is used in:

- the work of Barlow [B] in treating the progressive enlargement with honest times.
- The work of Jeulin and Yor [JY] and progressive enlargement up to a random time.

Category II

Distributional

By introducing distributional hypothesis under which the \mathbb{F} -conditional expectations of \mathbb{G} -adapted process can be computed.

This includes;

- The work of Yor [Y] on discrete enlargement.

Category II

Distributional

By introducing distributional hypothesis under which the \mathbb{F} -conditional expectations of \mathbb{G} -adapted process can be computed.

This includes;

- The work of Yor [Y] on discrete enlargement.
- The absolute continuity hypothesis of Jacod in [J] and its extensions .

Category II

Distributional

By introducing distributional hypothesis under which the \mathbb{F} -conditional expectations of \mathbb{G} -adapted process can be computed.

This includes;

- The work of Yor [Y] on discrete enlargement.
- The absolute continuity hypothesis of Jacod in [J] and its extensions .
- The kernel method of Yor [Y2] and the subsequent computations using Malliavin calculus .

Category II

Distributional

By introducing distributional hypothesis under which the \mathbb{F} -conditional expectations of \mathbb{G} -adapted process can be computed.

This includes;

- The work of Yor [Y] on discrete enlargement.
- The absolute continuity hypothesis of Jacod in [J] and its extensions .
- The kernel method of Yor [Y2] and the subsequent computations using Malliavin calculus .
- Independence or conditional independence i.e. Hypothesis (H).

Category III

Extension/Linkage

By linking the known method of solutions in categories (i) and (ii), and another filtration of interest.

This includes;

- The projection method used in Callegrao et al. [CJZ].

Category III

Extension/Linkage

By linking the known method of solutions in categories (i) and (ii), and another filtration of interest.

This includes;

- The projection method used in Callegrao et al. [CJZ].
- The local solution method of Song [S].

Category III

Extension/Linkage

By linking the known method of solutions in categories (i) and (ii), and another filtration of interest.

This includes;

- The projection method used in Callegrao et al. [CJZ].
- The local solution method of Song [S].
- Kchia and Protter [KP] on progressive enlargement with processes.

Initial and Progressive

In the well studied case of initial and progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} with a random time τ .

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t \\ \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^{\sigma(\tau)}.\end{aligned}$$

Initial and Progressive

In the well studied case of initial and progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} with a random time τ .

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t \\ \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^{\sigma(\tau)}.\end{aligned}$$

- The three levels of filtrations

$$\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^\tau \subset \mathbb{F}^{\sigma(\tau)}.$$

Initial and Progressive

In the well studied case of initial and progressive enlargement of \mathbb{F} with a random time τ .

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau > t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t \\ \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^\tau &= \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \mathcal{F}_t^{\sigma(\tau)}.\end{aligned}$$

- The three levels of filtrations

$$\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^\tau \subset \mathbb{F}^{\sigma(\tau)}.$$

- The \mathbb{F}^τ semimartingale decomposition can be computed by computing 'projections' from $\mathbb{F}^{\sigma(\tau)}$.

Sketch of the solution:

Given two random times T_1 and T_2 .

- Dividing the space Ω into $D_1 := \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 := \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$

Sketch of the solution:

Given two random times T_1 and T_2 .

- Dividing the space Ω into $D_1 := \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 := \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$
- We can show

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_1} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$$

Sketch of the solution:

Given two random times T_1 and T_2 .

- Dividing the space Ω into $D_1 := \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 := \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$
- We can show

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_1} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$$

- We construct auxiliary filtration $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ using D_i and \mathbb{F}^{T_i} such that

$$\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$$

and show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ (using that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$)

Sketch of the solution:

Given two random times T_1 and T_2 .

- Dividing the space Ω into $D_1 := \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 := \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$
- We can show

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_1} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$$

- We construct auxiliary filtration $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ using D_i and \mathbb{F}^{T_i} such that

$$\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$$

and show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ (using that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$)

- This shows that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$.

Sketch of the solution:

Given two random times T_1 and T_2 .

- Dividing the space Ω into $D_1 := \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 := \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$
- We can show

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_1} \cap \{T_1 < T_2\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\} = \mathcal{F}_t^{T_2} \cap \{T_1 \geq T_2\}$$

- We construct auxiliary filtration $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ using D_i and \mathbb{F}^{T_i} such that

$$\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$$

and show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ (using that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$)

- This shows that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$.
- The decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingales in $\mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$ can be computed using the projections from $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$.

General Framework and Results

The Framework

The filtration $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an enlargement of \mathbb{F} satisfying;

Assumption

The filtration \mathbb{G} is such that there exists an \mathcal{F} -measurable partition of Ω given by $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ and a family of right continuous filtration $\{\mathbb{F}^1, \dots, \mathbb{F}^k\}$ where for every $i = 1, \dots, k$

- (i) $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^i$ and $\mathcal{F}_\infty^i \subset \mathcal{F}$,*
- (ii) $\forall t \geq 0, \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i$*
- (iii) $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ and the \mathbb{F}^i -decomposition of a \mathbb{F} -martingale M is known.*

Example

- $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F}^{T_1 \wedge T_2}$
- $D_1 = \{T_1 < T_2\}$ and $D_2 = \{T_2 \leq T_1\}$
- $\mathbb{F}^1 = \mathbb{F}^{T_1}$ and $\mathbb{F}^2 = \mathbb{F}^{T_2}$

The hypothesis (H')

To show that hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} . We first construct an auxiliary filtration $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\mathbb{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{F}}$.

Definition (The direct sum filtration)

For every $t \geq 0$, we define the following family of sets

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t := \{A \in \mathcal{F} \mid \forall i, \exists A_t^i \in \mathcal{F}_t^i \text{ such that } A \cap D_i = A_t^i \cap D_i\},$$

Lemma

The family of σ -algebras $\hat{\mathbb{F}} = (\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a right continuous filtration such that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ holds.

Methodology

- The space is decomposed in to $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.

Methodology

- The space is decomposed in to $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.
- Construct $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ and on each set D_i ,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

Methodology

- The space is decomposed into $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.
- Construct $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ and on each set D_i ,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

- Using $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ to show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$.
(Bichteler-Dellacherie-Mokobodzki Theorem)

Methodology

- The space is decomposed into $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.
- Construct $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ and on each set D_i ,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

- Using $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ to show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$.
(Bichteler-Dellacherie-Mokobodzki Theorem)
- By Stricker's theorem $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{G}$.

Methodology

- The space is decomposed into $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.
- Construct $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ and on each set D_i ,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

- Using $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ to show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$.
(Bichteler-Dellacherie-Mokobodzki Theorem)
- By Stricker's theorem $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{G}$.
- Compute the $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingales.

Methodology

- The space is decomposed into $\Omega = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$.
- Construct $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ so that $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ and on each set D_i ,

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

- Using $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ to show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$.
(Bichteler-Dellacherie-Mokobodzki Theorem)
- By Stricker's theorem $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{G}$.
- Compute the $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingales.
- Compute the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition from the $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale decomposition.

$\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale Decomposition

Theorem

For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, suppose that M^i are \mathbb{F}^i -local martingales and $N_t^i := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{1}_{D_i} | \mathcal{F}_t^i)$ then

$$\widehat{M}^i = \left(M^i - \frac{\mathbb{1}_{D_i}}{N_-^i} \bullet \langle N^i, M^i \rangle^i \right) \mathbb{1}_{D_i}$$

is an $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -local martingale. If for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the \mathbb{F}^i -semimartingale decomposition of a \mathbb{F} -martingale M is given by $M = M^i + K^i$, then

$$M = \widehat{M} + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{1}_{D_i} \left(K^i \mathbb{1}_{D_i} + \frac{\mathbb{1}_{D_i}}{N_-^i} \bullet \langle N^i, M \rangle^i \right)$$

where \widehat{M} is an $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -local martingale.

Summary

Remark

If we take $\mathbb{F}^i = \mathbb{F}$, we recover the results of Yor [Y] on discrete enlargement.

Remark

Theoretically one can always calculate the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingales by computing the “ \mathbb{G} -dual predictable projections” from its $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ semimartingale decomposition.

Problem:

The dual predictable projection can rarely be computed explicitly.

\mathbb{G} -Semimartingale Decomposition

We have $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{G} \subset \hat{\mathbb{F}}$ and the $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale decomposition of a \mathbb{F} -martingale M is known.

Aim:

Compute the \mathbb{G} -semimartingale decomposition of \mathbb{F} -martingale M .

Method:

Compute the \mathbb{G} -optional projection of the $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -semimartingale decomposition of M .

Technical Difficulties

Given $\mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$:

- Shown by Föllmer and Protter [FP] that the optional \mathbb{G} -optional projection of a $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ local martingale is in general not a \mathbb{G} local martingale.

Technical Difficulties

Given $\mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$:

- Shown by Föllmer and Protter [FP] that the optional \mathbb{G} -optional projection of a $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ local martingale is in general not a \mathbb{G} local martingale.
- A $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -localizing sequence of stopping times is not necessary a \mathbb{G} -localizing sequence.

Technical Difficulties

Given $\mathbb{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{F}}$:

- Shown by Föllmer and Protter [FP] that the optional \mathbb{G} -optional projection of a $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ local martingale is in general not a \mathbb{G} local martingale.
- A $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -localizing sequence of stopping times is not necessary a \mathbb{G} -localizing sequence.
- For ease of presentation, we do not distinguish between local martingales and martingales.

Technical Results

Proposition

Let $D \in \mathcal{F}$ be a set such that $\mathcal{G}_t \cap D = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t \cap D$ for all $t \geq 0$, then for any $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -predictable process of finite variation \hat{V} , there exists map ψ such that $\psi(\hat{V})$ is a \mathbb{G} -predictable process of finite variation and $\hat{V}\mathbb{1}_D = \psi(\hat{V})\mathbb{1}_D$.

Remark

- *One show that every positive $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -predictable increasing processes \hat{V} can be map to a \mathbb{G} -predictable increasing process $\psi(\hat{V})$.*
- *In general one set $\psi(\hat{V})$ to be $\psi(\hat{V}^+) - \psi(\hat{V}^-)$.*
- *Problem: The processes $\psi(\hat{V}^+)$ and $\psi(\hat{V}^-)$ can both take value infinity, but we assume here that $\psi(\hat{V}^+) - \psi(\hat{V}^-)$ always make sense.*

Notation

Let us introduce some notation and apply the previous results.

- For every $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$N_t^i := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{D_i} \mid \mathcal{F}_t^i \right)$$

$$\tilde{N}_t^i := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\mathbb{1}_{D_i} \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right)$$

$$\hat{V}^i := K^i \mathbb{1}_{D_i} + \frac{\mathbb{1}_{D_i}}{N_-^i} \bullet \langle N^i, M \rangle^i$$

Note that :

- \hat{V}^i is $\hat{\mathbb{F}}$ -adapted
- There exists a map ψ such that $\mathbb{1}_{D_i} \hat{V}^i = \mathbb{1}_{D_i} \psi(\hat{V}^i)$.
- $\psi(\hat{V}^i)$ is \mathbb{G} -adapted.

Main Result

Theorem

For any bounded \mathbb{F} -martingale M the process

$$M - \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{N}_-^i \bullet \psi(\hat{V}^i)$$

is a \mathbb{G} -local martingale.

Remark

This formula is interesting as the drift part resembles a weighted average of the processes $\psi(\hat{V}^i)$ which are constructed from modified drifts of M in each filtration \mathbb{F}^i .

Proof.

For every $i = 1, \dots, k$, the process

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{M}^i &= M\mathbb{1}_{D_i} - \mathbb{1}_{D_i} \left(K^i \mathbb{1}_{D_i} + \frac{\mathbb{1}_{D_i}}{N_-^i} \bullet \langle N^i, M \rangle^i \right) \\ &= M\mathbb{1}_{D_i} - \mathbb{1}_{D_i} \widehat{V}^i \\ &= M\mathbb{1}_{D_i} - \mathbb{1}_{D_i} \psi(\widehat{V}^i)\end{aligned}$$

is a $\widehat{\mathbb{F}}$ -(local) martingale. Taking the \mathbb{G} -conditional expectation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left(\widehat{M}_t^i \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right) &= M_t \widetilde{N}_t^i - \widetilde{N}_t^i \psi(\widehat{V}^i)_t \\ &= M_t \widetilde{N}_t^i - \int_{(0,t]} \widetilde{N}_{s-}^i d\psi(\widehat{V}^i)_s \\ &\quad - \int_{(0,t]} \psi(\widehat{V}^i)_{s-} d\widetilde{N}_s^i - \left[\widetilde{N}^i, \psi(\widehat{V}^i) \right]_t\end{aligned}$$



Proof.

Using Yor's Lemma we conclude that

$$M_t \tilde{N}_t^i - \int_{(0,t]} \tilde{N}_{s-}^i d\psi(\hat{V}^i)_s$$

is a \mathbb{G} -martingale. Since \tilde{N}^i are \mathbb{G} -conditional probabilities and $\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{N}^i = 1$, this shows

$$M - \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{N}_-^i \bullet \psi(\hat{V}^i)$$

is a \mathbb{G} -martingale. □

Applications to Multiple Random Times

Application I

Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be random times and $\tau_{(1)}, \tau_{(2)}, \dots, \tau_{(n)}$ be their ordered counter part.

Aim: To show that $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{\tau_{(j)}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Assumption

- *For every $j = 1, \dots, n$, the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}^{τ_j} .*
- *The \mathbb{F}^{τ_j} -semimartingale decomposition of any \mathbb{F} -martingale M is known.*

To apply our frame

If we let $D_j = \{\tau_i = \tau_{(j)}\}$ and $\mathbb{F}^j = \mathbb{F}^{\tau_j}$ then the filtration $\mathbb{F}^{\tau_{(j)}}$ satisfies the hypothesis on \mathbb{G} introduced before.

Application I

Example

Given 3 random times τ_1, τ_2 and τ_3 , then if the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}^{τ_i} for $i = 1, 2, 3$, then the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and $\mathbb{F}^{\tau(j)}$ for all j .

In other words,

$$\forall i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{\tau_i}$$

implies

$$\forall j = 1, 2, 3. \quad \mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^{\tau(j)}$$

Application II

Let τ_1, \dots, τ_n be random times and $\{\tau_{(1)}, \tau_{(2)}, \dots, \tau_{(k)}\}$ be the first k smallest random times.

Assumption

For every $j = 1, \dots, n$, the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and the progressive enlargement with any subgroup of k random times.

- Under the above assumptions, the hypothesis (H') is satisfied between \mathbb{F} and $\mathbb{F}^{\tau_{(1)}, \dots, \tau_{(k)}}$.

Explicit Computations

Multiple Random Times

Suppose τ_1, \dots, τ_n exhibits a \mathbb{F} -conditional density with respect to Lebesgue measure, then the \mathbb{G} -drift term

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{N}_-^i \bullet \psi(\hat{V}^i)$$

can be computed explicitly.

Conclusion

We have shown that if \mathbb{G} is any enlargement of \mathbb{F} satisfying

Assumption

There exists an \mathcal{F} -measurable partition of Ω given by $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ and a family of right continuous filtration $\{\mathbb{F}^1, \dots, \mathbb{F}^k\}$ where $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$;

- (i) $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{F}^i$ and $\mathcal{F}_\infty^i \subset \mathcal{F}$,*
- (ii) $\forall t \geq 0, \mathcal{G}_t \cap D_i = \mathcal{F}_t^i \cap D_i$*
- (iii) $\mathbb{F} \xrightarrow{H'} \mathbb{F}^i$ and the \mathbb{F}^i -decomposition of a \mathbb{F} -martingale M is known.*

Results:

- every \mathbb{F} -semimartingale is again a \mathbb{G} -semimartingale.
- the \mathbb{G} -drift can be interpreted as a 'weighted average' of the 'modified' drift of M in \mathbb{F}^i .

References I



[B] Barlow, M.:

Study of a filtration expanded to include an honest time.

Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie and Verwandte Gebiete 44 (1978), 307–323.



[CJZ] Callegaro, G. and Jeanblanc, M. and Zargari, B.:

Carthaginian enlargement of filtrations. ESAIM: Probability and Statistics, 17, (2013), pp 550-566.



[FP] Follmer, H. and Protter, P:

Local Martingales and Filtration Shrinkage

ESAIM: Probability and Statistics, 2011.



[HWY] He, S.W., Wang, J.G. and Yan, J.A.:

Semimartingale Theory and Stochastic Calculus.

Chinese edition, Science Press, Beijing, 1995.

References II



[J] Jeulin, T.:

Semi-martingales et grossissement d'une filtration.

Lecture Notes in Mathematics 833. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1980.



[JY] Jeulin, T. and Yor, M.:

Grossissement d'une filtration et semi-martingales: formules explicites.

In: *Séminaire de Probabilités XII, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 649.*
Springer, Berlin, 1978, pp. 78–97.



[KP] Kchia, Y. and Protter, P.:

On progressive filtration expansion via a process, with applications to insider trading.

International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance. 18, 4 (2015), 1550027



[S] Song S.:

Grossissement d'une filtration et problèmes connexes

Thesis Université Paris IV (1987)

References III



[Y] Yor, M.:

Entropie d'une partition, et grossissement initial d'une filtration

Grossissement de filtrations: exemples et applications. Lecture Notes 1118. T. Jeulin and M. Yor, eds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1980, 45–58.



[Y2] Yor, M.:

Grossissement de filtrations et absolue continuité de noyaux.

Grossissement de filtrations: exemples et applications. Lecture Notes 1118. Th. Jeulin and M. Yor, eds. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1980, pp. 6–14.

Thank you all for listening!