

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows

Holger van Bargaen
(joint work with Georgi Dimitroff)

IRTG Summer School
Disentis



Outline

- 1 Stochastic Flows And Stochastic Differential Equations
 - Stochastic Flows: A First Example
 - SDEs And Spatial Semimartingales
 - Conclusion
- 2 IBFs and IOUFs
 - Isotropic Brownian Flows
 - Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows
- 3 Spatial Regularity
 - Statement Of The Result
 - Sketch Of Proof

Motivating Example

- Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} d \begin{pmatrix} W_t^{(1)} \\ W_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$X_s = x$, $Y_s = y$, A is a real matrix.

- The Solution to this equation is of course:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

Motivating Example

- Consider the following stochastic differential equation

$$d \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} dt + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} d \begin{pmatrix} W_t^{(1)} \\ W_t^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$X_s = x$, $Y_s = y$, A is a real matrix.

- The Solution to this equation is of course:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
- it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
- $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
- $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
- These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
 - it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
 - $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
 - $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
 - These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
- it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
- $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
- $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
- These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
- it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
- $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
- $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
- These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
- it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
- $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
- $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
- These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

The flow property

- Consider the solution as a function of the initial value.

$$\Phi_{s,t}: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_0^t e^{-(u-s)A} \begin{pmatrix} 17 \\ 42 \end{pmatrix} dW_u$$

- The function $\Phi = \Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ satisfies:
- it is a diffeomorphism for any ω, s, t
- $\Phi_{t,t}(\cdot, \omega)$ is the identity for all ω and t
- $\Phi_{s,t}(\cdot, \omega) = \Phi_{u,t}(\cdot, \omega) \circ \Phi_{s,u}(\cdot, \omega)$
- These properties state that Φ is a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms.

Kunita-Type SDEs

- Let us write

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- Then the SDE becomes

$$d \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = M(dt, \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix}), X_s = x, Y_s = y$$

Kunita-Type SDEs

- Let us write

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- Then the SDE becomes

$$d \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = M(dt, \begin{pmatrix} X_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix}), X_s = x, Y_s = y$$

Semimartingale Fields



$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:
 - it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
 - it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
 - the part of finite variation is smooth
 - This states that is a semimartingale field

Semimartingale Fields

•

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

• $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:

- it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
- it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
- the part of finite variation is smooth
- This states that is a semimartingale field

Semimartingale Fields



$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:
- it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
- it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
- the part of finite variation is smooth
- This states that is a semimartingale field

Semimartingale Fields

•

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:
- it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
- it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
- the part of finite variation is smooth
- This states that is a semimartingale field

Semimartingale Fields

•

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:
 - it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
 - it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
 - the part of finite variation is smooth
 - This states that is a semimartingale field

Semimartingale Fields

•

$$M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}) = A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} t + \begin{pmatrix} 17 & 0 \\ 0 & 42 \end{pmatrix} W_t$$

- $M = M(t, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix})$ satisfies:
- it is a semimartingale for fixed x, y
- it has smooth covariations in x, y for fixed t
- the part of finite variation is smooth
- This states that is a semimartingale field

Stochastic Flows And SDEs

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x))$$

- The solution of an SDE driven by a sufficiently smooth semimartingale field generates a stochastic flow.
- For a sufficiently smooth stochastic flow there is a semimartingale field that generates it via an SDE.

Stochastic Flows And SDEs

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x))$$

- The solution of an SDE driven by a sufficiently smooth semimartingale field generates a stochastic flow.
- For a sufficiently smooth stochastic flow there is a semimartingale field that generates it via an SDE.

Isotropic Covariance Tensors, Isotropic Brownian Fields

Definition

A function $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is an isotropic covariance tensor if:

- 1 $x \mapsto b(x)$ is smooth enough and the derivatives are bounded.
- 2 $b(0) = E_d$ (the d -dimensional identity)
- 3 $x \mapsto b(x)$ is not constant.
- 4 $b(x) = O^* b(Ox) O$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $O \in O(d)$

Definition

Let b be as above. $\{M(t, x) : t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is an isotropic Brownian field if:

- 1 $(t, x) \mapsto M(t, x)$ is a centered Gaussian process.
- 2 $\text{cov}(M(s, x), M(t, y)) = (s \wedge t) b(x - y)$
- 3 $(t, x) \mapsto M(t, x)$ is continuous for almost all ω .

Isotropic Covariance Tensors, Isotropic Brownian Fields

Definition

A function $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is an isotropic covariance tensor if:

- 1 $x \mapsto b(x)$ is smooth enough and the derivatives are bounded.
- 2 $b(0) = E_d$ (the d -dimensional identity)
- 3 $x \mapsto b(x)$ is not constant.
- 4 $b(x) = O^* b(Ox)O$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $O \in O(d)$

Definition

Let b be as above. $\{M(t, x) : t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is an isotropic Brownian field if:

- 1 $(t, x) \mapsto M(t, x)$ is a centered Gaussian process.
- 2 $\text{cov}(M(s, x), M(t, y)) = (s \wedge t)b(x - y)$
- 3 $(t, x) \mapsto M(t, x)$ is continuous for almost all ω .

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Brownian Flows (IBFs)

Properties

- translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional standard Brownian Motion
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents are known, deterministic and constant
- Lebesgue measure is invariant
- No straightforward entropy definition

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows (IOUFs)

Introduce a drift into the SDE

$$\phi_{s,t}(x) = x + \int_s^t M(du, \phi_{s,u}(x)) - c \int_s^t \phi_{s,u}(x) du \quad (1)$$

- **NO** translation invariance
- rotation invariance
- one-point motion is a d -dimensional std. OU-process
- SDEs for two-point-distance, . . .
- Lyapunov-Exponents can be computed, are deterministic and constant
- a normal distribution is invariant
- standard definition of entropy applicable

Spatial Regularity Lemma

Lemma

Let $\phi = \phi_{0,1} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be as in (1) (with $s = 0$ and $t = 1$). Then we have a.s.

1

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\| \geq R} \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|} = 0 \quad (2)$$

2

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|x\| \geq R} \frac{\|\phi(x)\|}{\|x\|} = e^{-c} \quad (3)$$

Reformulation To Compact State Space

- It is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1} \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|} = 0$$

- $x \mapsto \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|}$ is continuous
- $\mathbb{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1\}$ is compact

Reformulation To Compact State Space

- It is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1} \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|} = 0$$

- $x \mapsto \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|}$ is continuous
- $\mathbb{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1\}$ is compact

Reformulation To Compact State Space

- It is sufficient to show

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1} \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|} = 0$$

- $x \mapsto \frac{\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\|}{\|x\|}$ is continuous
- $\mathbb{X} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : R \leq \|x\| \leq R+1\}$ is compact

The Chaining Lemma

(\mathbb{X}, d) compact metric space, $\phi: \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a.s. cont. func.,
 $(\delta_i)_{i \geq 0}$ positive real numbers with $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i < \infty$,
 $(\chi_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ δ_i -dense in \mathbb{X} with $\chi_0 = \{x_0\}$, with $d(x, x_0) \leq \delta_0 \forall x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Lemma (Cranston, Scheutzow and Steinsaltz '00)

For arbitrary positive $\epsilon, z \geq 0$ and an arbitrary sequence of positive reals $(\epsilon_i)_{i \geq 0}$ such that $\epsilon + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_i = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \phi(x) > z\right) \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}(\phi(x_0) > \epsilon z) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\chi_{i+1}| \sup_{d(x,y) \leq \delta_i} \mathbb{P}(|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| > \epsilon_i z). \end{aligned}$$

Estimates

-

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\|\phi(x_0) - e^{-c}x_0\| > \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}R}{2} \right] \leq c_4 e^{-\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}^2}{8d^2}R^2}$$

-

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} [\|\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\| - \|\phi(y) - e^{-c}y\|\| > 2^{-j-2}\tilde{\epsilon}R] \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} [\|\|\phi(x) - e^{-c}x\| - \|\phi(y) - e^{-c}y\|\| > 2^{-j-2}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j|x - y|] \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} [\|\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|\| > 2^{-j-3}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j|x - y|] \tag{4} \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} \left[B_1^* \geq \frac{\log(2^{-3-j}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j) - \lambda}{\sigma} \right] \\ \leq & c_5 (2^{-j-3}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j)^{-\frac{\log(2^{-3-j}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j) - 2\lambda}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Estimates

•

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\|\phi(x_0) - e^{-c}x_0\| > \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}R}{2} \right] \leq c_4 e^{-\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}^2}{8d^2}R^2}$$

•

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} [|||\phi(x) - e^{-c}x|| - ||\phi(y) - e^{-c}y||| > 2^{-j-2}\tilde{\epsilon}R] \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} [|||\phi(x) - e^{-c}x|| - ||\phi(y) - e^{-c}y||| > 2^{-j-2}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j|x - y|] \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} [|||\phi(x) - \phi(y)||| > 2^{-j-3}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j|x - y|] \tag{4} \\ \leq & \mathbb{P} \left[B_1^* \geq \frac{\log(2^{-3-j}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j) - \lambda}{\sigma} \right] \\ \leq & c_5 (2^{-j-3}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j)^{-\frac{\log(2^{-3-j}\tilde{\epsilon}R3^j) - 2\lambda}{2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Open Problems - Directions Of Research

- Pesin Formula for IOUFs
- Meaningful definition of the entropy for IBFs
- Pesin Theory for IBFs

Open Problems - Directions Of Research

- Pesin Formula for IOUFs
- Meaningful definition of the entropy for IBFs
- Pesin Theory for IBFs

Open Problems - Directions Of Research

- Pesin Formula for IOUFs
- Meaningful definition of the entropy for IBFs
- Pesin Theory for IBFs

References

-  H. Kunita: Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations, *Cambridge University Press*, (1990)
-  P. Imkeller and M. Scheutzow: On The spatial Asymptotic Behaviour Of Stochastic Flows In Euklidian Space, *Ann. Probab* **27**, 109-129, (1999)
-  M. Cranston, M. Scheutzow and D. Steinsaltz: Linear Bounds For Stochastic Dispersion, *Ann. Probab.*, **28** **4**, 1852-1869, (2000)
-  G. Dimitrov: Dissertation, *Technische Universität Berlin*, (2006)
-  H. van Bargaen and G. Dimitroff, Isotropic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Flows, *submitted*, (2008)