

# Blow up for the $L^2$ critical gKdV equation

Yvan Martel<sup>(1)</sup>, Frank Merle<sup>(2)</sup>, Pierre Raphaël<sup>(3)</sup>

- (1) Univ. Versailles St-Quentin and Institut Universitaire de France
- (2) Univ. Cergy-Pontoise and Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques
- (3) Univ. Toulouse and Institut Universitaire de France

# Introduction

We consider the  $L^2$  critical (gKdV) equation

$$(\text{gKdV}) \quad \begin{cases} u_t + (u_{xx} + u^5)_x = 0, & (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

Recall the following important facts:

- The Cauchy problem is locally well-posed in  $H^1$   
[Kenig-Ponce-Vega, 92] ([Kato, 83])
- Mass and energy conservation

$$M_0 = \int u^2(t), \quad E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int u_x^2(t) - \frac{1}{6} \int u^6(t)$$

- Scaling invariance ( $\lambda > 0$ )

$$u^\lambda(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} u\left(\frac{t}{\lambda^3}, \frac{x}{\lambda}\right), \quad \|u^\lambda\|_{L^2} = \|u\|_{L^2}, \quad E(u^\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(u)$$

- **Solitons** are special solutions defined by ( $\lambda > 0$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ )

$$R^{\lambda, x_0}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left( \frac{1}{\lambda}(x - x_0) - \frac{1}{\lambda^3}t \right)$$

$$Q(x) = \left( \frac{3}{\cosh^2(2x)} \right)^{1/4}, \quad Q'' - Q + Q^5 = 0, \quad E(Q) = 0$$

- Global existence for “small”  $L^2$  norm: **[Weinstein, 83]**

$$\|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2} \Rightarrow \text{the solution is global in } H^1$$

### Main questions of this talk:

- Blow up problem for initial data:

$$u_0 \in H^1, \quad \|Q\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2} + \alpha_0, \quad \alpha_0 \ll 1$$

- Classification of all possible behaviors for  $\|u_0 - Q\|_{H^1} \ll 1$

# First results on blow up for $L^2$ critical gKdV

[YM-Merle, 00-02]

Assume

$$u_0 \in H^1, \quad \|Q\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2} < \|Q\|_{L^2} + \alpha_0, \quad \alpha_0 \ll 1$$

Then:

(i) Blow up in **finite or infinite time** if  $E_0 < 0$ .

No information on the blow up regime.

(ii) Assuming blow up,  $Q$  is the **universal blow up profile**.

(iii) Blow up in **finite time** if  $E_0 < 0$  **and**  $\int_{x>1} x^6 u_0^2(x) dx < \infty$ .

Moreover, for a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow T$ ,

$$\|u_x(t_n)\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{C(u_0)}{T - t_n}$$

(iv) Global existence for **minimal mass initial data with decay**.

## Blow up for $L^2$ critical NLS

$$(NLS) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta u + |u|^{\frac{4}{N}} u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{cases} \quad (t, x) \in [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N$$

$$\Delta Q_{NLS} - Q_{NLS} + Q_{NLS}^{1+\frac{4}{N}} = 0, \quad Q_{NLS} > 0 \text{ even}$$

- [Merle, 93]

The only  $H^1$  blow up solution of (NLS) with **minimal mass**  $\|u_0\|_{L^2} = \|Q_{NLS}\|_{L^2}$  is (up to symmetries)

$$S_{NLS}(t, x) = \frac{1}{t^{N/2}} e^{-i\left(\frac{|x|^2}{4t} - \frac{1}{t}\right)} Q_{NLS}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$$

- Existence of **unstable** nontrivial  $\frac{1}{(T-t)}$  blow up solutions.  
[Bourgain-Wang, 98], [Krieger-Schlag, 09],  
[Merle-Raphaël-Szeftel, 11]

## “log-log” blow up for (NLS)

- [Landman-Papanicolaou-Sulem-Sulem, 88], etc.  
log-log conjecture
- [Perelman, 01]

Construction of a large class of log-log blow up solutions close to  $Q_{\text{NLS}}$ .

- [Merle-Raphaël, 03-06]

(i) Construction of an open set in  $H^1$  of log-log blow up solutions close to  $Q_{\text{NLS}}$  (including all  $H^1$  data with  $E_0 \leq 0$  close to  $Q_{\text{NLS}}$ )

$$\|\nabla u_{\text{NLS}}(t)\|_{L^2} \sim C^* \sqrt{\frac{\log |\log(T-t)|}{T-t}}$$

(ii) Quantization of the focused mass at the blow up point  $x(T)$ :

$$|u_{\text{NLS}}(t)|^2 \rightharpoonup \|Q_{\text{NLS}}\|_{L^2}^2 \delta_{x=x(T)} + |u^*|^2, \quad u^* \in L^2.$$

# Statement of new results for critical gKdV

[YM-Merle-Raphaël, 12]

Define  $(\alpha_0 \ll 1)$

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ u_0 = Q + \varepsilon_0 \text{ with } \|\varepsilon_0\|_{H^1} < \alpha_0 \text{ and } \int_{x>1} x^{10} \varepsilon_0^2(x) dx < 1 \right\}$$

THM 1 (Negative or zero energy data close to  $Q$ )

Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  and  $u(t)$  is not a soliton, then  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time  $T$  with

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \frac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{\ell_0(T-t)} \quad \text{for } \ell_0(u_0) > 0$$

$$u(t) - \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q \left( \frac{\cdot - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \underset{t \rightarrow T}{\rightarrow} u^* \quad \text{in } L^2$$

$$\lambda(t) \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \ell_0(T-t), \quad x(t) \underset{t \sim T}{\sim} \frac{1}{\ell_0^2(T-t)}$$

See [Rodnianski-Sterbenz, 10], [Raphaël-Rodnianski, 12],  
[Merle-Raphaël-Rodnianski, 11]

## THM 2 (Existence and uniqueness of minimal mass blow up sol.)

(i) There exists a solution  $S \in \mathcal{C}((0, +\infty), H^1)$  with minimal mass

$$\|S(t)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$$

such that

$$\|S_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim \frac{\|Q'\|_{L^2}}{t} \text{ as } t \downarrow 0,$$

$$S(t) - \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left( \frac{\cdot + \frac{1}{t} + \bar{c}t}{t} \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^2 \text{ as } t \downarrow 0,$$

where  $\bar{c}$  is a universal constant.

(ii) Let  $u(t)$  be a solution with minimal mass which blows up in finite time. Then,  $u = S$  up to invariances.



### THM 3 (Classification and universality of $S(t)$ )

Let  $0 < \alpha_0 \ll \alpha^* \ll 1$ . Only three scenarios are possible for  $u_0 \in \mathcal{A}$

**(Blow up)**  $u(t)$  blows up in finite time with blow up rate  $\frac{1}{T-t}$ .

**(Soliton)**  $u(t)$  is global, bounded and locally converges to a soliton as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**(Exit)** there exists  $t^* > 0$  such that  $u(t)$  exits at  $t = t^*$  the  $L^2$  neighborhood of size  $\alpha^*$  of the family of solitons.

**Moreover, for some  $\tau^*$ ,  $u(t^*)$  is  $L^2$  close (related to  $\alpha_0$ ) to  $S(\tau^*)$  (up to symmetries).**

*Consequence:* **Assume that  $S(t)$  scatters at  $+\infty$ . Then, the (Exit) scenario implies scattering.**

Classification results for NLKG, NLW [Nakanishi-Schlag, 10], [Krieger-Nakanishi-Schlag, 10] ([Duyckaerts-Kenig-Merle, 06-09])

Stable manifold: [Krieger-Schlag, 05], [Beceanu, 07]

# Blow up rates for initial data with slow decay $u_0 \notin \mathcal{A}$

## THM 4 (Unstable blow up rates)

There exist blow up solutions with the following blow up rates:

(i) Blow up in **finite time**: for any  $\nu > \frac{11}{13}$ ,

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^{-\nu} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

(ii) Blow up in **infinite time**:

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim e^t \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

For any  $\nu > 0$ ,

$$\|u_x(t)\|_{L^2} \sim t^\nu \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, such solutions can be taken arbitrarily close to solitons.

See [Krieger-Schlag-Tataru, 08], [Bejenaru-Tataru, 09],  
[Donninger-Krieger, 12], [Perelman, 12]

## Formal derivation of the dynamics in $\mathcal{A}$

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q_{b(t)} \left( \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right), \quad Q_b = Q + bP$$

$$u_t = -\frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} (\Lambda Q_b)^\lambda - \frac{x_t}{\lambda} (Q'_b)^\lambda + b_t P^\lambda, \quad \Lambda Q_b = \frac{1}{2} Q_b + y(Q_b)_y,$$

$$\Rightarrow -\lambda^2 \lambda_t \Lambda Q_b + (Q''_b - \lambda^2 x_t Q_b + Q_b^5)' + \lambda^3 b_t P = 0$$

Fix  $\lambda^2 x_t = 1$  and  $-\lambda^2 \lambda_t = b$ . At first order in  $b$ ,

$$b \Lambda Q + b (LP)' + \lambda^3 b_t P + O(b^2) = 0$$

where  $LP = -P'' + P - 5Q^4 P$ . We fix

$$(LP)' = -\Lambda Q \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^3 b_t = -2b^2$$

Combining the equations of  $\lambda_t$  and  $b_t$ , one gets

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{b}{\lambda^2} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \left( b_t - 2 \frac{\lambda_t}{\lambda} b \right) = 0$$

and

$$-\lambda_t = \frac{b}{\lambda^2} = \ell_0 \quad (\text{scaling law})$$

Three scenarios:

▶  $\ell_0 > 0$ :

$$\lambda_t = -\ell_0 < 0 \Rightarrow \text{blow up and } \lambda(t) = \ell_0(T - t)$$

Example:  $E_0 < 0$  but also  $E_0 = 0$  (rigidity argument)

▶  $\ell_0 = 0$ :

$$\lambda(t) = \text{Cte} \Rightarrow \text{soliton}$$

▶  $\ell_0 < 0$ :

$$\lambda_t = -\ell_0 > 0 \Rightarrow \text{defocusing and then (Exit)}$$

## Full ansatz - control of the remainder term

We decompose the solution  $u(t, x)$  as

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} Q_{b(t)} \left( \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} \varepsilon \left( t, \frac{x - x(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right)$$

where  $(b, \lambda, x)$  are adjusted to obtain orthogonality conditions on  $\varepsilon$ .

The function  $\varepsilon(s, y)$  and  $(b, \lambda, x)$  are governed by

$$\varepsilon_s - (L\varepsilon)_y = \left( \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} + b \right) \Lambda Q + \left( \frac{x_s}{\lambda} - 1 \right) Q' + \frac{\lambda_s}{\lambda} \Lambda \varepsilon + O(b^2 + |b_s| + |\varepsilon|^2)$$

and  $\int \varepsilon Q = \int \varepsilon \Lambda Q = \int \varepsilon y \Lambda Q = 0$  ( $s$  is the rescaled time  $\frac{ds}{dt} = \frac{1}{\lambda^3}$ )

The uniform control of some norm of  $\varepsilon$  is a fundamental point in all the regimes to justify the dynamics of the parameters.

## Tools for a simplified linear model (with orthogonality)

$$\varepsilon_s - (L\varepsilon)_y = \alpha(s)\Lambda Q + \beta(s)Q'$$

- ▶ Energy conservation at the level of  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\forall s, \quad (L\varepsilon(s), \varepsilon(s)) = \text{Cte}$$

- ▶ Monotonicity argument: for  $A \gg 1$ ,

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_{"y > -A"} (\varepsilon_y^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 5Q^4\varepsilon^2)(s, y) dy \leq e^{-\frac{A}{10}} \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2$$

- ▶ Viriel type argument (under orthogonality conditions):

$$-\frac{d}{ds} \int y\varepsilon^2 = H(\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \geq \mu_0 \|\varepsilon(s)\|_{H^1}^2$$

## Main estimate on $\varepsilon$

Definition of a Liapunov functional for  $\varepsilon(s)$

$$\mathcal{F}(s) \sim \int [\varepsilon_y^2 \psi_1 + \varepsilon^2 \psi_2 - 5Q^4 \varepsilon^2 \psi_1] (s, y) dy$$

where

- $\psi_1(y) = 0$  for  $y < -A$ ,  $\psi_1(y) = 1$  for  $y > -\frac{1}{2}A$ ,
- $\psi_2(y) = 0$  for  $y < -A$ ,  $\psi_2(y) = 1 + y$  for  $y > -\frac{1}{2}A$ .

$\mathcal{F}(t)$  is a mixed **energy monotonicity** and **Viriel** quantity

**PROP.** Under a suitable assumption on space decay of  $\varepsilon(s, y)$  on the right (which requires decay on the initial data), it holds

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( \frac{\mathcal{F}}{\lambda^2} \right) + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H_{loc}^1}^2}{\lambda^2} \lesssim \frac{b^4}{\lambda^2}$$

The blue term is reminiscent of the “Kato smoothing effect”.

The term  $\frac{b^4}{\lambda^2}$  is due to the equation of  $Q_b$  (order  $b$  only).

## Full estimates

- Control of  $\frac{b}{\lambda^2}$

$$\left| \frac{b(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)} - \frac{b(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} \right| \lesssim \frac{b^2(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} + \frac{b^2(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)} + \frac{\mathcal{F}(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)}$$

- Equation of  $\lambda$

$$|\lambda^2 \lambda_t + b| \lesssim \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{\text{loc}}^1}^2 + |b|^2$$

- Control of  $\varepsilon$

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H_{\text{loc}}^1}^2}{\lambda^5} dt \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{F}(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} + \frac{b^3(t_1)}{\lambda^2(t_1)} + \frac{b^3(t_2)}{\lambda^2(t_2)}$$



## Analysis of the **(Exit)** case

Definition of the  $L^2$  **(Exit)** time ( $\alpha^*$  small but fixed) :

$$t^* = \sup\{0 < t < T, \text{ such that } \forall t' \in [0, t], u(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}\}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*}$  is an  $L^2$  tube around the family of solitons:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\alpha^*} = \left\{ u \in H^1 \text{ with } \inf_{\lambda_0 > 0, x_0 \in \mathbf{R}} \left\| u - \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} Q \left( \frac{\cdot - x_0}{\lambda_0} \right) \right\|_{L^2} < \alpha^* \right\}$$

New and general approach to:

1. Construct the minimal mass solution  $S$
2. Prove universality of the **(Exit)** case and a “no-return lemma” based on the properties of  $S$

# Existence of a minimal mass solution

Choose a sequence of **well-prepared** initial data, for example:

$$u_n(0) = Q_{b_n(0)}, \quad b_n(0) = -\frac{1}{n}, \quad \|u_n(0)\|_{L^2} - \|Q\|_{L^2} \sim -\frac{c}{n}, \quad \varepsilon_n(0) = 0$$

**(Blowup)** and **(Soliton)** are not possible  $\Rightarrow$  **(Exit)** regime

$$u_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t)} (Q_{b_n(t)} + \varepsilon_n) \left( t, \frac{x - x_n(t)}{\lambda_n(t)} \right)$$

$$(\lambda_n)_t \sim -b_n(0), \quad \lambda_n(t) \sim 1 - b_n(0)t, \quad b_n(t) = b_n(0)\lambda_n^2(t).$$

At the (Exit) time  $t_n^*$ :  $b_n(t_n^*) = -\alpha^*$ ,  $\lambda_n^2(t_n^*) \sim \frac{b_n(t_n^*)}{b_n(0)} \sim n\alpha^*$

**(defocalisation)**

Renormalize the solution at  $t_n^*$  :

$$v_n(\tau, x) = \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n^*) u_n(t_n^* + \tau \lambda_n^3(t_n^*), \lambda_n(t_n^*) x + x_n(t_n^*)).$$

$$v_n(\tau, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{v_n}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau)} (Q_{b_{v_n}} + \varepsilon_{v_n}) \left( \tau, \frac{x - x_{v_n}(\tau)}{\lambda_{v_n}(\tau)} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{v_n}(\tau) &\sim \frac{1}{\lambda_n(t_n^*)} [1 - b_n(0)(t_n^* + \tau \lambda_n^3(t_n^*))] \\ &\sim \frac{1}{\lambda_n(t_n^*)} [\lambda_n(t_n^*) - \tau b_n(0) \lambda_n^3(t_n^*)] = 1 - \tau b_n(t_n^*) = 1 + \tau \alpha^*. \end{aligned}$$

Mass, energy conservation and  $\varepsilon_n(0) = 0 \Rightarrow \sup_{\tau} \|\varepsilon_{v_n}\|_{H^1} \leq \delta(\alpha^*)$ .

Extract a weak limit  $v_n(0) \rightharpoonup v(0)$  in  $H^1$  weak such that the corresponding solution  $v(\tau)$  **blows up backwards** at  $\tau^* \sim -\frac{1}{\alpha^*}$ .  
Moreover,  $\|v(0)\|_{L^2} \leq \|Q\|_{L^2}$  by weak limit and blow up yields

$$\|v(0)\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}.$$

## Description of the *general* (Exit) scenario

**PROP** Let  $(u_n(0))$  be a sequence in  $H^1$  satisfying:

1.  $u_n(0) \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
2.  $\|u_n(0) - Q\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{n}$ ;
3. the solution  $u_n$  satisfies the **(Exit)** scenario

Then, there exists  $\tau^* = \tau^*(\alpha^*)$  such that

$$\lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(t_n^*)u_n(t_n^*, \lambda_n(t_n^*) \cdot + x_n(t_n^*)) \rightarrow \lambda_S^{\frac{1}{2}}(\tau^*)S(\tau^*, \lambda_S(\tau^*) \cdot + x_S(\tau^*))$$

in  $L^2$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

The idea of the proof is similar as before, **except that the  $H^1$  bound is lost** for general (not well-prepared) initial data.

The uniqueness of  $S$  is decisive.